

Consent Decree Public Hearing

08 July 2025

Opportunities for Community Input on CPD Policy Development

CPD Policies Open for Public Comment: <https://www.chicagopolice.org/policy-review/>

Traffic Stops

- CPD's Traffic Stop Summary Document
- CPD's Draft Traffic Stop Policy
- CCPSA's Proposed Policy Revisions and Response Memorandum

Comment Period Closes on July 14, 2025

Use of Force Suite

- De-escalation, Response to Resistance, and Use of Force
- Response to Resistance and Force Options
- Incidents Requiring the Completion of a Tactical Response Report
- Firearm Discharge Incidents - Authorized Use and Post-Discharge Admin. Procedures
- Taser Use Incidents
- Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) Devices And Other Chemical Agent Use Incidents
- Canine Use Incidents
- Baton Use Incidents
- Department Review of Use of Force

Comment Period Closes on August 15, 2025

Provide Feedback





<https://www.chicagopolice.org/policy-review/>

Firearm Pointing Incident Review

Department Policy

- **January 2017:** United States Department of Justice Investigation of the Chicago Police Department
- **January 2019:** CPD proposes a policy requiring the recording of Department members' pointing of a firearm at a person.
- **March 2019:** Consent Decree effective, including requirements for CPD to record pointing of a firearm.
- **November 2019:** CPD Firearm Pointing Incidents policy implemented

Chicago Police Department		Special Order S03-22	
 FIREARM POINTING INCIDENTS			
			
ISSUE DATE:	15 April 2025	EFFECTIVE DATE:	15 April 2025
RESCINDS:	01 November 2019 Version of D19-01		
INDEX CATEGORY:	03 - Field Operations		
CALEA:			

I. PURPOSE
This directive outlines the:

- A. procedures for the notification, recording, and reviewing of firearm pointing incidents.
- B. existing reasonableness standard for *when Department members may point and are required to stop pointing*
- C. *use of firearm*

II. POLICY

- Department members may only point a firearm at a person when it is objectively reasonable to do so under the totality of the circumstances faced by the member on the scene.
- Department members will stop pointing immediately upon recognizing it is no longer objectively reasonable to do so under the totality of circumstances faced by the member on the scene
- Whenever a Department member points a firearm at a person while in the performance of his or her duties, the member will notify OEMC promptly after the incident has concluded
- When a Department member points a firearm at a person to detain that person, a seizure has occurred and will be documented

Firearm Pointing Incident Reporting

1. Firearm pointing occurs
2. OEMC is notified
3. OEMC notifies the beat supervisor
4. Tactical Review and Evaluation Division (TRED)
5. reviews the firearms pointing incident
6. TRED send recommendation to unit of assignment
7. Follow up action



Department-Wide Training

Bulletins

- Firearm Pointing Incidents

eLearning

- Firearm Pointing Incident Refresher Program
- Streaming video

Recruit

- Firearm Pointing Incidents

In-service

- De-escalation, Response to Resistance, and Use of Force

Pre-service

- Sergeants
- Lieutenants
- Captains




TRED Reviews

Reports


- No reported instances of unjustified or unreasonable pointing incidents

Example of Associated Debriefing Points

- Firearm Pointing Incidents reported in error
- OEMC dispatcher notification



Historical Overview of Firearm Pointing Data



Firearm Pointing Incident Review (FPIR) Pilot

Captain Training for District-Level Review of Firearm Pointing Incidents

Chicago Police Department		Department Notice D24-06	
FIREARM POINTING INCIDENTS DISTRICT-LEVEL REVIEW - PILOT PROGRAM			
ISSUE DATE:	30 June 2025	EFFECTIVE DATE:	01 July 2025
RESCINDS:	30 May 2025 Version		
INDEX CATEGORY:	Department Notice		
CALEA:			

I. PURPOSE

This directive continues:

A. the pilot program for district-level reviews of firearm pointing incidents.

B. the existing guidelines for when Department members may point and are required to stop pointing a firearm at a person, including the reasonableness standard and the notification, recordation, and supervisory responsibilities for firearm pointing incidents, as outlined in the Department directive titled "Firearm Pointing Incidents."

II. SCOPE

A. The Firearm Pointing Incident District-Level Review Pilot Program is implemented for firearm pointing incidents by sworn Department members assigned or detailed to the 002nd, 005th, 006th, 007th, 009th, 011th, 012th, 014th, 17th, 018th, 022nd, and 024th Districts.

B. The Firearm Pointing Incident District-Level Review Pilot Program will be:


- under the authority of and overseen by the Executive Director, Office of Constitutional Policing and Reform (OCPR).
- coordinated through and managed by the Tactical Review and Evaluation Division (TRED).
- reviewed for effectiveness, including evaluation of personnel and operational matters, at minimum, every three months.
 - This review will include but not be limited to an evaluation of the captains' reviews in relation to similar TRED reviews, in areas such as length of time of the incident reviews, the debriefing points identified by the captains, and the timeliness of providing the after-action recommendations.
 - Based on these reviews, recommendations will be made to continue, expand, modify, or terminate this pilot program under the direction of the Executive Director, Office of Constitutional Policing and Reform (OCPR).

C. The captains assigned to the pilot program districts will be responsible for reviewing all occurrences in which a sworn Department member assigned or detailed to a pilot program district pointed a firearm at a person in the course of effecting a seizure, except for occurrences that include a

- Obtained approval from IMT and OAG on training materials
- 16-hour training conducted by TRED in-person
 - Consists of 80% practical application and 20% technology review



Assignment Workflow



Captain FPIR Success Opportunities

Immediate Feedback

Fast turnaround with reviews and debriefings

Mentoring opportunities

Wellness opportunities

Leadership awareness



GOALS

- Decentralize reviews starting with firearm pointing incidents without UoF or Foot Pursuits
- Increase district accountability
- Incorporated FPIR training into Captain pre-service classes

EVALUATION CRITERIA

- Timing of Review
 - Captains completing reviews in real time
- Consistency with TRED
 - Ensure TRED and Captains are consistent with debriefings issued

Looking Ahead: From Pilot to Program

Evaluation criteria demonstrating positive results

- Approximately 2-week turnaround
- Captain and TRED debriefing consistency

Pilot expansion continuing to move forward

4th Q 2024 –
1st Q 2025

• 5 Districts

2nd Q 2025
– 3rd Q 2025

• 8 Districts (13 Total)

3rd Q 2025 –
4th Q 2025

• 9 Districts (22 Total)

1st Q 2026

• From Pilot to Program

Investigatory Stops

Historical Overview of Investigatory Stops Policy


The development of the new Police Encounters and the Fourth Amendment suite of directives has been the product of a deliberate, iterative process shaped by input from:

- Past policies and agreements on investigatory stops
- Internal CPD auditing efforts and subject matter experts
- Community stakeholders
- Oversight partners, including the Independent Monitoring Team (IMT) and the Office of the Attorney General (OAG)

Historical Overview of Investigatory Stops Policy

2016

- CPD creation of an Investigatory Stops policy, Reporting Application, and Receipt (pursuant to implementation of new state statutes and the Investigatory Stop and Protective Pat Down Settlement Agreement between the ACLU of Illinois and CPD)
- The Integrity Section to conduct random audits of the Investigatory Stop System on a continual basis



Chicago Police Department

INVESTIGATORY STOP SYSTEM

Special Order S04-13-09

ISSUE DATE:	18 December 2015	EFFECTIVE DATE:	
RESCINDS:	07 January 2015 Version Titled "Contact Information System"		
INDEX CATEGORY:	Preliminary Investigations		

INVESTIGATORY STOP REPORT							
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT CPD-11.910 (REV. 7/17)				<input type="checkbox"/> ADULT	ISR NO.	EVENT NO.	
				<input type="checkbox"/> JUVENILE			
DATE OF STOP	TIME OF STOP	SUBMITTING BEAT	BEAT OF OCC.	LOCATION CODE	ADDRESS OF STOP (Number/Direction/Street)		
NAME (Last, First, Middle)				NICKNAME(S)	DATE OF BIRTH	AGE	
ADDRESS OF RESIDENCE (Number/Direction/Street Name/Apt./Floor/City/State/Zipcode)					HOME PHONE NO.	CELL PHONE	
SEX	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	BUILD	EYE COLOR	HAIR COLOR	HAIRSTYLE	COMPLEXION
WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING DO YOU BELIEVE IS THE RACE OF THE PERSON STOPPED?							RELATED ISR NO. (To Identify A
<input type="checkbox"/> BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN		<input type="checkbox"/> HISPANIC OR LATINO		<input type="checkbox"/> AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE			
<input type="checkbox"/> WHITE		<input type="checkbox"/> ASIAN		<input type="checkbox"/> NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER			

INVESTIGATORY STOP RECEIPT	
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT	Event No.
You were the subject of an Investigatory Stop by the Chicago Police Department.	
Officer _____ (Print) Name	Star No. _____
Officer _____ (Print) Name	Star No. _____
Reason(s) for the Stop (Check all that apply).	
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTIONS INDICATIVE OF ENGAGING IN DRUG TRANSACTION	
<input type="checkbox"/> FITS DESCRIPTION FROM FLASH MESSAGE	
<input type="checkbox"/> FITS DESCRIPTION OF AN OFFENDER AS DESCRIBED BY VICTIM OR WITNESS	
<input type="checkbox"/> ACTIONS INDICATIVE OF "CASING" VICTIM OR LOCATION	
<input type="checkbox"/> PROXIMITY TO THE REPORTED CRIME LOCATION	
<input type="checkbox"/> GANG/NARCOTIC RELATED ENFORCEMENT	
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify) _____	

Historical Overview of Investigatory Stops Policy

2016 - 2019

- Retired Judge Arlander Keys (Consultant) 2017, 2018, 2019 Reports
- Identified concerns with data collection and supervisory reviews of stops and pat downs

2022

- CPD's internal Audit Division made a series of recommendations to revise practices related to the supervisory reviews
- Opportunity to further review practices with internal subject matter experts; overseen and guided by the newly appointed Consultant

2022 - 2023

- Approved Consultant's Request for Proposal: Co-Design Community Engagement for the CPD-ACLU Investigatory Stop Agreement
- Eight final recommendations presented in a meeting with CPD leadership to discuss the implementation options

2023 - 2025

- June 2023: Amended Stipulation Regarding Investigatory Stops, Protective Pat Downs, and Enforcement of Loitering Ordinances
- Solicited additional community feedback in August 2024; Finalized Policies in December 2024; Posted Revision in June 2025.



Investigatory Stops Policy

The new policies governing CPD members' adherence to Fourth Amendment during police encounters and interactions with the public is a suite of the following topic-specific directives

- **G03-08, Police Encounters and the Fourth Amendment**—an overview of the rights guaranteed by the Constitution and the law during police encounters.
- **G03-08-01, Investigatory Stops**—guidelines for conducting Investigatory Stops and Protective Pat Downs.
- **G03-08-03, Reporting Temporary Detentions**—reporting procedures for Temporary Detentions, including Investigatory Stops and Protective Pat Downs.
- **G03-08-04, Department Review of Temporary Detentions**—guidelines for supervisory and Department-level review

Chicago Police Department		General Order G03-08	
POLICE ENCOUNTERS AND THE FOURTH AMENDMENT			
ISSUE DATE:	30 June 2025	EFFECTIVE DATE:	TO BE DETERMINED
RESCINDS:			
INDEX CATEGORY:	04 - Preliminary Investigations		
CALEA:			

I. PURPOSE

This parent directive provides a general overview regarding the rights guaranteed by the Constitution and laws of the United States and the State of Illinois during Temporary Detentions, including definitions, types of police encounters, and types of searches. It is informational in nature and does not provide procedural guidance on how to perform Temporary Detentions, Protective Pat Downs, or other searches. Please refer to the Department directive titled "Investigatory Stops" for procedures, requirements, and prohibitions regarding the performance of lawful Temporary Detentions, Protective Pat Downs, and other searches.

II. THE FOURTH AMENDMENT, UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION

"The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by oath and affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."

III. POLICY

A. It is the policy of the Chicago Police Department to ensure that all interactions with the public are conducted in an unbiased, fair, and respectful manner and in accordance with the rights secured and protected by the United States Constitution, the Illinois Constitution, and state and federal laws.

B. Department members are responsible for ensuring public safety by deterring and responding to crime. Members are also responsible for conducting police encounters, including Temporary Detentions and Protective Pat Downs, in a manner consistent with the United States Constitution, the



Investigatory Stops Policy

The screenshot shows a web browser window with the URL directives.chicagopolice.org/#directive/public/7077. The page title is "Chicago Police Department Directive Search Links". The main content area is titled "POLICE ENCOUNTERS AND THE FOURTH AMENDMENT SUITE" and is marked as "Pre-Implementation 31DEC24-1". A table provides key dates and categories:

ISSUE DATE:	30 June 2025	EFFECTIVE DATE:	30 June 2025
RESCINDS:	31 December 2024 Version		
INDEX CATEGORY:	Pending Publications		
CALEA:			

Below the table, the text reads: "G03-08, Police Encounters and the Fourth Amendment Suite - REVISED 30 June 2025 Version (Not Yet In Effect) which includes:" followed by a list of sub-directives: G03-08 - Police Encounters and the Fourth Amendment, G03-08-01 - Investigatory Stops, G03-08-03 - Reporting Temporary Detentions, and G03-08-04 - Department Review of Temporary Detentions. A paragraph states: "The revised suite of directives will be published and made effective in 2025, implementing these new procedures, upon the completion of training for CPD members on the Police Encounters and the Fourth Amendment suite of directives and the development and implementation of a new electronic reporting application." Another paragraph mentions the CPD's Community Engagement in Policy Development process. The page is signed by Larry Snelling, Superintendent of Police.

CPD
Department
Directives System
<https://directives.chicagopolice.org>

A large QR code is centered in a light blue box, intended for mobile scanning to access the directives system.



Police Encounters and the Fourth Amendment

<https://directives.chicagopolice.org/#directive/public/7077>

Investigatory Stops Policy - Highlights

Protection of Fourth Amendment Rights

- All interactions with the public are conducted in an unbiased, fair, and respectful manner and in accordance with the Constitution and state and federal laws
- Strengthen the police-community relationship using Procedural Justice and Legitimacy principles (Voice, Neutrality, Respect, and Trustworthiness)
- Department members will not engage in racial profiling or other bias-based policing and will not conduct Investigatory Stops or Protective Pat Downs based:
 - Solely on a geographic location; on a person's response to the presence of a police officer; being in the presence of other involved in criminal activity
 - On a person's race, ethnicity, color, national origin, religion, or other protected characteristic
- CPD will not implement any form of quota or consider the number of Investigatory Stops or Protective Pat Downs as part of any bonus, incentive, or promotion

Investigatory Stops Policy - Highlights

Emphasis on Professionalism and Communication During Investigatory Stops

- Clearly identifiable when conducting Investigatory Stops
 - Name plates, unit designators, star clearly visible on their uniforms
 - If not in uniform, announce their identities and display the Department credentials
- Communicate the specific basis for the stop consistent with the principles of procedural justice
 - identifying themselves by name and rank
 - stating the reason for the stop
 - notify the person(s) that they are being lawfully detained temporarily.
 - indicate that they will be free to leave at the conclusion of the stop (unless probable cause for arrest)

Investigatory Stops Policy - Highlights

Ensuring Lawful Protective Pat Downs and Searches

- Protective Pat Down requires Reasonable Articulable Suspicion based on specific and articulable facts that a person is armed and dangerous - NOT solely on "officer safety"
- If conducting a search of a person upon consent:
 - specifically ask the person for consent to search;
 - communicate the scope and inform that consent may be revoked at any time;
 - record the entire incident using a body-worn camera; and
 - document the incident, including the search on the Stop Report and Stop Receipt.
- Prohibited from conducting an Investigatory Stop or search of a person based solely on an officer smelling cannabis/marijuana without any other specific and articulable facts
- Protective Pat Downs and searches respect gender as expressed, clarified, or requested

Investigatory Stops Policy - Highlights

Strengthening Reporting Requirements for Stops

- A newly revised Stop Report, and an accompanying electronic Temporary Detention (Stop) reporting application, will consolidate various CPD reports into one report.
- The revised report will have distinct sections that require an independent identification of facts of the stop
 - **Stop Information**—captures information for all stops.
 - **Investigatory Stop** (if conducted)—captures the reason for the Investigatory Stop
 - **Pat Down** (if conducted)—captures the reasons for the pat down
 - **Search other than a Pat Down** (if conducted)—captures the reasons for the search
 - **Disposition of the Stop**—captures the conclusion/result

STOP REPORT		1. ELECTRONIC STOP REPORT NO.*	2. EVENT NO.*	3. RD NO.
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT				
4. DATE OF STOP*	5. TIME OF STOP*	6. END TIME OF STOP*	7. ADDRESS OF STOP (Number/Direction/Street Name)*	
8. BEAT OF OCC.*	9. LOC. CODE*	10. VIDEO RECORDED INCIDENT? <input type="checkbox"/> BWC <input type="checkbox"/> IN-CAR VIDEO <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER	11. REPORTING MEMBER INVOLVED A PURSUIT? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	12. NAME (Last, First, Middle)*
13. DATE OF BIRTH	14. AGE/EST. AGE*	15. <input type="checkbox"/> ADULT <input type="checkbox"/> JUVENILE	16. ADDRESS OF RESIDENCE (Number/Direction/Street Name/Apt./Floor)*	
17. CITY*	18. STATE*	19. ZIP CODE*	20. NAME VERIFIED BY ID*	
21. GENDER*	22. HEIGHT*	23. WEIGHT*	24. EYE COLOR*	25. HAIR COLOR*
26. SCARS/MARKS/TATTOOS*	27. DRIVERS LICENSE NO./STATE ID NO.		28. WHICH DO YOU BELIEVE IS THE RACE/ETHNICITY OF THE PERSON STOPPED?*	
<input type="checkbox"/> BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN <input type="checkbox"/> HISPANIC OR LATINO <input type="checkbox"/> AMERICAN INDIAN OR ALASKA NATIVE			29. THE STOP INVOLVED A*	
<input type="checkbox"/> WHITE <input type="checkbox"/> ASIAN <input type="checkbox"/> NATIVE HAWAIIAN OR OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER			<input type="checkbox"/> PEDESTRIAN <input type="checkbox"/> BICYCLIST <input type="checkbox"/> MOTOR VEHICLE	
30. METHOD OF STOP/SEIZURE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):*				
<input type="checkbox"/> VERBAL DIRECTION <input type="checkbox"/> VEHICLE EMERGENCY LIGHTS <input type="checkbox"/> ADDITIONAL DEPARTMENT MEMBERS <input type="checkbox"/> HANDCUFFS/PHYSICAL RESTRAINTS				
<input type="checkbox"/> MEMBER PRESENCE <input type="checkbox"/> VEHICLE SIREN <input type="checkbox"/> THIRD PARTY <input type="checkbox"/> POINTING OF A FIREARM				
<input type="checkbox"/> MEMBER POSITIONING <input type="checkbox"/> VEHICLE POSITIONING <input type="checkbox"/> CONTROL HOLDS/TACTICS <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (DESCRIBE):				
31. WAS THE STOP CONDUCTED BASED ON PROBABLE CAUSE?*		32. IF YES, CITE VIOLATION THAT LED TO STOP.		
<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO				
33. IF THE STOP INVOLVED A VEHICLE, WAS THE SUBJECT A: <input type="checkbox"/> DRIVER <input type="checkbox"/> PASSENGER		34. VEHICLE YEAR	35. VEHICLE MAKE	36. LICENSE PLATE NO
37. STATE				
38. WAS CONSENT FOR A VEHICLE SEARCH REQUESTED? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO				
39. WAS A CANINE SNIFF PERFORMED OF THE VEHICLE? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO				
40. WAS A CANINE ALERT TO THE PRESENCE OF CONTRABAND? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO				
41. WAS A VEHICLE SEARCH CONDUCTED BY: <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER				
42. IF YES, THE VEHICLE SEARCH WAS CONDUCTED BY: <input type="checkbox"/> CONSENT <input type="checkbox"/> CANINE ALERT				
43. IF YES, THE VEHICLE SEARCH WAS CONDUCTED BY: <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER				
44. WAS CONTRABAND FOUND AS A RESULT OF THE VEHICLE SEARCH? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO				
45. IF YES, DESCRIBE BELOW:				
<input type="checkbox"/> FIREARM. Based on Canine Alert? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO Weight: _____ grams				
<input type="checkbox"/> OTHER WEAPON. Based on Canine Alert? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO Weight: _____ grams				
<input type="checkbox"/> COCAINE. Based on Canine Alert? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO Weight: _____ grams				
<input type="checkbox"/> HEROIN. Based on Canine Alert? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO Weight: _____ grams				
<input type="checkbox"/> STOLEN PROPERTY. Based on Canine Alert? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO Describe: _____				
<input type="checkbox"/> ALCOHOL. Based on Canine Alert? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO Describe: _____				
<input type="checkbox"/> CANNABIS. Based on Canine Alert? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO Describe: _____				
<input type="checkbox"/> DRUG PARAPHERNALIA. Based on Canine Alert? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO Weight: _____ grams Describe: _____				
46. WAS AN INVESTIGATORY STOP (OR AN INVESTIGATION UNRELATED TO THE PROBABLE CAUSE) BASED UPON REASONABLE ARTICULABLE SUSPICION CONDUCTED? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO				
47. IF YES, COMPLETE THE INVESTIGATORY STOP SECTION AND NARRATIVE 1.				
48. INVESTIGATORY STOP NARRATIVE 1 (Describe with specificity: (1) the reason for the investigatory stop, including all the factors that led to the stop and the Reasonable Articulate Suspicion to justify the stop (e.g., description of subject wanted for a crime, flash message description, gang/narcotics-related loitering factors) (2) the circumstances of the investigatory stop, including any subject's actions, descriptive information (e.g., subject or vehicle), or other observations, and (3) the resolution of the investigatory stop, including any enforcement, warnings, or other law enforcement actions.)				



Investigatory Stops Policy - Highlights

Strengthening Reporting Requirements for Stops

Electronic Temporary Detention (Stop) Reporting Application

- Record the stop (and officer's one opportunity for corrective efforts, if necessary)
- Document Supervisory Review (and rejection if necessary)
- Identify appropriate corrective action, such as after-action support
- 4th Amendment Stop Review Unit (4ASRU)
Department-level reviews of Investigatory Stops and recommended after-action support
- Repository for evaluation, analysis, and public posting of stop information

The screenshot shows a web application interface for reporting stops. At the top, there is a navigation bar with the 'stops' logo and links for 'Dashboard', 'Reports', and 'LEADS'. Below this is a 'Stop Report' section with a 'New Stop Report...' button. The main form contains several required fields, each marked with a red asterisk: 'Event #' (text input), 'Event Type' (dropdown menu with '(Select...)' as the current selection), 'RD #' (text input), 'Start Date' (text input), 'Start Time' (text input), 'End Date' (text input), 'Street #' (text input), 'Dir.' (text input), and 'Street Name' (text input). At the bottom of the form, there are two buttons: 'Save Changes' (with a lightning bolt icon) and 'Cancel' (with an 'X' icon). The footer of the application displays '© 2025 - Chicago Police Department'.

Investigatory Stops Policy - Highlights

Supervisory Review of Stop Reports

- A CPD supervisor will review all Stop Reports to ensure they are properly completed
- Stop Reports may only be "rejected" once back to the original reporting officer and the same CPD supervisor will be responsible for the 2nd review
- For rejected reports, CPD supervisors must complete a Deficiency Rejection Report and
 - personally notify reporting member of the rejection and the reason for the deficiency.
 - identify and take the appropriate corrective action, such as after-action support, to address any rejected reports

The after-action support recommendations may include, but are not limited to, individual debriefing with a supervisor, reviewing Department policy with the Department member, reviewing BWC footage from the stop with the Department member, mandatory re-training, formal counseling, enhanced supervision, or initiating progressive discipline.

Investigatory Stops Policy - Highlights

Fostering Accountability with Department-Level Review of Investigatory Stops

- A dedicated CPD unit, the 4th Amendment Stop Review Unit (4ASRU) is tasked with conducting Department-level reviews of Investigatory Stops
- These Department-level reviews will ensure compliance with the applicable laws and CPD requirements with a focus on:
 - Officers completely and thoroughly reported all facts that established the reasonable articulable suspicion to justify the investigatory stop and separate reasonable articulable suspicion for the protective pat down
 - Officers completely and thoroughly completed the report
 - Supervisory review was timely, thorough, complete, and objective

Investigatory Stops Policy - Facilitating Transparency

Data Transparency



- De-identified Investigatory Stop data is posted on CPD's website



CPD ISR Data

<https://chicagopolice.org/statistics-data/isr-data/>



- A semi-annual report on the reviewed Stop Reports, including an evaluation of the reviews and recommendations to modify policy, training, or tactics related to investigatory stops




CPD Statistical Reports

<https://www.chicagopolice.org/statistics-data/statistical-reports/>

Investigatory Stops Policy - Facilitating Transparency

Policy Review

- CPD will periodically review the policies and regularly engage the community to offer a meaningful opportunity to provide feedback



CPD Policy Review
<https://www.chicagopolice.org/policy-review/>


Investigatory Stops Training

Training

- Officers (including supervisors) will be trained to properly conduct Investigatory Stops and Protective Pat Downs maintaining CPD's commitment to procedural justice, de-escalation, impartial policing, and community policing
- 4th Amendment concepts and foundations are included in annual trainings:
 - In-service supervisor training (reviewing stops for constitutionality, based on reasonable articulable suspicion and probable cause)
 - Firearm qualification and Taser recertification (constitutional seizures and the reasonableness standard)
- In 2023, CPD's in-service training program included an 8-hour, in-person training course for all sworn CPD members in constitutional policing


Investigatory Stops Training

- In 2025, all CPD sworn members will receive a minimum of 40 hours of in-service training, including 8 hours of in-person **Constitutional Policing Foundations** training with a focus on:
 - The Fourth Amendment and police encounters
 - Constitutional decision making rooted in Procedural Justice and Legitimacy, a law-enforcement/public safety purpose, and the proper use of officer discretion



Investigatory Stops Training

- Also in 2025, all CPD sworn members will be enrolled in two (2) eLearning focusing on the new Police Encounters and the Fourth Amendment policies and reporting application
 - Policy Review
 - Universal Stop Reporting Application



A screenshot of a web application form titled 'Stop Report'. The form is part of a system called 'stops' and includes a navigation menu with 'Dashboard', 'Reports', and 'LEADS'. The form fields are as follows:

- * Event #
- * Event Type (Select...)
- RD #
- * Start Date
- * Start Time
- * End Date
- * End Time
- * Street #
- Dir.
- * Street Name
- * Beat #
- .Get.
- * Location

At the bottom of the form are 'Save Changes' and 'Cancel' buttons. The footer of the application shows '© 2025 - Chicago Police Department' and a 'Stop Reports' indicator.



Opportunities for Community Input on CPD Policy Development

CPD Policies Open for Public Comment: <https://www.chicagopolice.org/policy-review/>

Traffic Stops

- CPD's Traffic Stop Summary Document
- CPD's Draft Traffic Stop Policy
- CCPSA's Proposed Policy Revisions and Response Memorandum

Comment Period Closes on July 14, 2025

Use of Force Suite

- De-escalation, Response to Resistance, and Use of Force
- Response to Resistance and Force Options
- Incidents Requiring the Completion of a Tactical Response Report
- Firearm Discharge Incidents - Authorized Use and Post-Discharge Admin. Procedures
- Taser Use Incidents
- Oleoresin Capsicum (OC) Devices And Other Chemical Agent Use Incidents
- Canine Use Incidents
- Baton Use Incidents
- Department Review of Use of Force

Comment Period Closes on August 15, 2025

Provide Feedback



<https://www.chicagopolice.org/policy-review/>