



Quarter 3 Report
01 July - 30 September

2024

**Scan with your
smartphone to visit the
Chicago Police
Department's
Bureau of Internal Affairs
website!**



3rd Quarter, 2024 | CPD BIA

Bureau of Internal Affairs
Third Quarter 2024

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Glossary of Terms	2
Consent Decree Paragraphs	5
Introduction	8
Mission of the Bureau of Internal Affairs	8
Investigations Conducted by BIA	8
Structure of the Bureau of Internal Affairs	9
How to File a Complaint	12
Complaint Intake and Assignment	13
Investigators and Investigations	14
Findings in Misconduct Investigations	16
Investigative Findings to Final Disposition	17
Community Engagement	17
Training	19
Data Collection	19
Quarter 3 Overview	21
Table 1. Status of Complaint Register Information/Complaint Log Numbers	21
Table 2. Administratively Closed Log Numbers	22
Table 3. Cases Opened and Closed by CPD	23
Table 4. Cases Opened and Closed by CPD (BIA Investigators or District Accountability Sergeants)	25
Table 5. Cases Transferred from COPA to BIA	25
Classifications of Allegations	27
Table 6. Classification of Allegations	27
Table 7. Cases Assigned to BIA Investigators	28
Table 8. Cases Assigned to Accountability Sergeants	29
Table 9. Subcategories of Operations/Personnel Violations	30
Reporting Party Demographic Information	31
Table 10. Demographic Information of All Reporting Parties (CPD and Non-CPD)	31
Table 11. Demographic Information of CPD Reporting Party (Subject, Third Party, Witness)	32
Table 12. Demographic Information of CPD Reporting Party (Only)	32
Table 13. Demographic Information of Civilian Reporting Party	33
Third Party and Anonymous Reporting Party	34
Table 14. Number of Third Party and Anonymous Complaints	34

Bureau of Internal Affairs
Third Quarter 2024

Chicago Police Department Districts, Beats, and Community Areas Map	35
Chicago Police District Map	36
Complaints Received from the Public	37
Table 15. Civilian-initiated Complaints	37
Table 16. Civilian-initiated Complaints by Classification of Allegations	61
Table 17. Civilian-initiated Complaints Filtered by Classification of Allegations of Operation/Personnel Violation Subcategories	62
Investigations Closed Based on Absence of a Reporting Party Sworn Affidavit	63
Table 18. No Affidavit Closures and Affidavit Override Requests	63
Average Time from Receipt of Complaint by BIA to the Next or Initial Contact with Reporting Party	63
Average Processing Time for Completed Investigations	64
Outcomes of Administrative Investigations	65
Table 19. Allegations with Findings for Completed Investigations.....	65
Recommended Disciplinary and Non-Disciplinary Penalties for Sustained Allegations	65
Table 20. Disciplinary Penalties for Sustained Allegations of Accused Department Members	66
Grievance Proceedings, Arbitration, Settlements, and Police Board Hearings	66
Table 21. Grievance Proceedings.....	66
Table 22. Police Board Decisions	67
Outcomes of Misconduct Investigations by Classifications of Allegations for Accused Department Member ...	67
Outcomes of Misconduct Investigations by Classifications of Allegations by Reporting Party Subject	73
CPD Members with Multiple Completed Misconduct Investigations or Multiple Sustained Allegations in the Last 12 Months	79
Table 23. CPD Members Accused in <u>More than Two</u> Misconduct Investigations	79
Table 24. CPD Members with <u>More than One</u> Sustained Allegation of Misconduct.....	80
Discriminatory Policing, Allegations of Excessive Force, and Allegations of Unlawful Stops, Searches, and Arrests	81
Table 25. Allegations of Discriminatory Policing	81
Table 26. Allegations of Excessive Force	81
Table 27. Allegations of Unlawful Stops, Searches, and Arrests	81
Arrest and Criminal Prosecutions of Current CPD Members	82
Table 28. Arrest and Criminal Prosecution of CPD Members.....	82

Executive Summary


The fundamental mission of the Bureau of Internal Affairs (BIA) is the execution of fair, impartial, timely, and thorough investigations into allegations of police misconduct. The BIA quarterly and annual reports are publically available continuing to promote a culture of accountability, transparency, and fair complaint resolution. The Bureau of Internal Affairs is pleased to issue this quarterly report for the third quarter of 2024 (July 1, 2024 through September 30, 2024). The information and statistics provided in this report give the public and Department members transparent access to misconduct investigations.

The City of Chicago hosted the Democratic National Convention (DNC) in August of 2024, and BIA was involved in developing training as well as supervising the Department members on the street during the Convention. BIA provided training in the new Coordinated Mass Arrest order, which was created to ensure that the First Amendment Rights of the protesters were respected, and the safety of the public was ensured. Members of BIA were posted in high-traffic areas near the United Center and other high-profile areas to make it easy for members of the public to make misconduct complaints. BIA members were also assigned to supervise Central Detention prisoner vans to ensure that the new policies and orders were followed and all prisoners were ensured of their rights and treated humanely and ethically.

In addition to the training provided for the DNC, the BIA Training Team also conducted regular training for new Investigators during September and prepared for the annual in-service training for all current investigators, which is being conducted in the 4th Quarter of 2024. During the third quarter, the entirety of the Department was enrolled in an online course developed by BIA to ensure the understanding of accountability expectations and the disciplinary system of the Chicago Police Department. Compliance of over 95% of all Department members was achieved in this online learning. All of the training conducted in 2024 will be further reported upon in the 2024 Annual Report.

Members of BIA also worked on the new public-facing website <https://www.chicagopolice.org/bureau-of-internal-affairs/>. This website was developed to be better organized and more accessible to the public; it is now easier to find information regarding filing complaints with COPA and OIG, the status of open complaints, the accountability dashboards, the draft policies, and the Department directives. We encourage everyone to visit the new site and provide feedback about the information provided and any accessibility concerns.

As always, the Bureau welcomes community input. BIA has a satisfaction survey available to all members of the public on the Accountability Dashboard 1 page of the BIA website: <https://www.chicagopolice.org/statistics-data/data-dashboards/accountability-dashboard/>. The BIA public email address is available for any comments and/or questions: BIAFedback@chicagopolice.org.



Yolanda L. Talley
Chief
Bureau of Internal Affairs
Chicago Police Department

Glossary of Terms (Definitions are in bold text when first presented throughout report)

Accountability Sergeant	A Chicago Police Sergeant assigned to a district or unit that has completed Bureau of Internal Affairs training and is responsible for receiving, processing and investigating misconduct complaints made against Department members assigned to his or her district or unit, which are referred for investigation by BIA. Accountability Sergeant investigations are conducted in accordance with BIA policy and reviewed by BIA supervisors.
Administrative Closure	Action taken by the Intake Section of BIA to address complaints that do not fall within BIA policy for assignment to an investigator. Reporting Party Subjects with cases that are administratively closed are mailed a notification letter which offers alternative options for assistance.
Advocate	The commanding officer of the BIA Advocate Section who ensures that every disciplinary case is handled professional, impartially, and consistently. The Advocate ensures that the evidence supports the investigative findings and that the principles of due process and just cause are upheld. The Advocate briefs the Superintendent of Police, advises the Superintendent on the merits of the investigation, and recommends the next course of action.
Allegations	Formal written accusations of misconduct against a Department Member that are time, date, location and member-specific. Allegations are written by the BIA Investigator or Accountability Sergeant and are formally presented (served) to an Accused Member prior to eliciting a member's statement. <i>A single complaint may contain multiple allegations.</i>
Anonymous Complaint	A reporting party whose identity is not known to COPA or BIA.
Bureau of Internal Affairs (BIA)	The unit within the Chicago Police Department that investigates misconduct allegations against Department members.
BIA Investigator	A Chicago Police Sergeant assigned or detailed to BIA who has completed the Bureau of Internal Affairs training, and is responsible for receiving, processing, and investigating misconduct complaints (assigned to BIA), made against Department members. Sergeants, police officers (assigned as detectives), and in certain limited circumstances, police officers may serve as BIA investigators to conduct Log Number investigations.
Body-worn Camera (BWC)	Audio-visual recording equipment that is worn affixed to an officer's person, uniform, or equipment, with the capability of capturing, recording, and storing audio and/or visual information for later viewing. (Consent Decree 733)
Case	A formally-initiated complaint received at BIA from COPA that is assigned to a BIA Investigator or Accountability Sergeant as a Log Number investigation.
Case Management System (CMS)	The application for processing Log Number investigations initiated on or after 11 February 2019. The CMS maintains investigation data regarding the number, classification, and status of all Log Number investigations initiated on or after 11

	February 2019, from the intake process through the final disposition.
Category Code	A function of the CMS system that allows COPA or BIA personnel to expand or narrow the category of misconduct alleged in a complaint.
Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA)	An agency within the City of Chicago responsible for administrative investigations of certain complaints against Department members as well as other incidents where no complaint is made. Chapter 2-78 of the Municipal Code City of Chicago establishes COPA.
Collective Bargaining Agreement/ Unit (CBA)	A written agreement (contract) between an employer and a union representing employees. In the City of Chicago, sworn Department members below the rank of sergeant are represented by the Fraternal Order of Police, and sworn supervisors below the rank of Commander are represented by the Police Benevolent and Protective Association.
Command Channel Review	A process in which exempt-level supervisors are notified of and review a completed Log Number investigation into a complaint(s) against members under their command.
Complainant	See Reporting Party/Subject
Complaint	One or more allegations of misconduct reported to COPA, CPD, or Office of Inspector General (OIG). (Consent Decree 740)
Consent Decree	United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division; State of Illinois Vs. City of Chicago; Case No. 17-cv-6260; Judge Robert M. Dow Jr.
Department	An abbreviated reference to the law enforcement agency and organization known as the Chicago Police Department.
District	One of the geographic subdivisions designated by CPD (22 in total) which together cover the entirety of the City and are each led by a member of the command staff. (Consent Decree 749)
Final Disciplinary Decision	The final decision of the Superintendent or his or her designee regarding whether to issue or recommend discipline after review and consideration of the investigative findings and recommendations, including after any additional investigation conducted as a result of such review. (Consent Decree 755)
Final Disposition	The status of a misconduct investigation after the final disciplinary decision, and any grievance process, arbitration, Police Board proceeding, or appeal relating to the final disciplinary decision. (Consent Decree 756)
Grievance	The procedure by which a Department members challenges a final disciplinary decision pursuant to the terms of a collective bargaining agreement.
Investigative Findings and Recommendations	The investigative conclusions supported by the appropriate standard of proof and documented in writing and submitted to the Superintendent, or his or her designee. For each allegation associated with a misconduct investigation, the assigned BIA investigator, Accountability Sergeant, or COPA Investigator will determine the investigative findings as: Sustained, Not Sustained, Exonerated, Unfounded.
Intake Section / Process	The system for processing all non-confidential complaints and administrative notifications by COPA. (Consent Decree 760)
Log Number	A unique tracking number assigned to any incident brought to the attention of the

	Department or COPA by a reporting party involving a Department member that may be investigated and that will be linked with all phases of the investigation and disciplinary process through the final disposition.
Misconduct	Any violation of law or any Department rule, regulation, directive, or order by a Department member.
Misconduct Investigation	The administrative investigation of a complaint or an administrative notification that progresses past a preliminary investigation. (Consent Decree 768)
Objective Verifiable Evidence	Information based on facts that can be proven to be true by means of search such as analysis, measurement and observation. Examples include, but are not limited to: all time-sensitive evidence, video and audio evidence, physical evidence, photographic evidence, arrest reports, computer data, Department calendars and schedules, swiping reports, body worn camera video, in-car camera video systems, Police Computer Aided Dispatch (PCAD) messages, and GPS.
Office of Inspector General (Public Safety) (PSIG)	The Public Safety section of the Inspector General's Office that conducts independent, objective evaluations and review of the Chicago Police Department, COPA, and the Police Board.
Police Board	The independent civilian body that decides disciplinary cases involving CPD officers. The primary power and responsibilities of the Police Board are set forth in the Municipal Code of Chicago, chapters 2-84-020 through 035.
Reporting Party/ Subject (previously known as Complainant)	The reporting party that brings an incident involving a Department member that may be investigated to the attention of COPA. The Reporting Party/Subject can be a member of the public or a sworn or civilian Department member. Referred to in the report as Reporting Party .
Reporting Party/Third Party	A Department supervisor who initiates a Log Number after receiving a complaint presented by a reporting party/subject.
Self-Reported	Demographics (i.e. gender, race, age) as reported by the complainant, or by an Accused Member.
Sworn Affidavit	A sworn written statement against a sworn Department member by a non-Department member certifying that the statement is true and correct under penalties provided by law.
Sworn Affidavit Override	An action taken by the Chief (Bureau of Internal Affairs), or the Chief Administrator, (Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA), when the standards defined by the appropriate collective bargaining agreement have been met to continue the investigation without the sworn affidavit requirement when objective, verifiable evidence exists and attempts to contact a Reporting Party/Subject are unsuccessful or the Reporting Party/Subject refuses to sign a sworn affidavit.
Third Party	An individual who has knowledge of an incident but was not directly involved.
Unit	Any bureau, group, section, organizational segment, or other subset of CPD, officially established within CPD's organizational structure and commanded by supervisory Department members. (Consent Decree 797)

Consent Decree Paragraphs

Paragraph #	Paragraph Description
441	The City will undertake best efforts to ensure that COPA has jurisdiction to conduct administrative investigations of allegations of sexual misconduct, as defined by this Agreement
443	Consistent with COPA’s jurisdiction, after conferring about the details of a particular criminal sexual misconduct investigation involving a CPD member, COPA and BIA may jointly agree that BIA may conduct the administrative investigation into allegations of sexual misconduct when they jointly determine that doing so avoids unnecessary disruption to the complainant.
463 (a-c)	<p>The City, CPD, and COPA will ensure that, within 30 days of receiving a complaint, COPA, BIA, and Accountability Sergeants initiate and make reasonable attempts to secure a signed complainant affidavit, including in-person visits, phone calls, and other methods. Such attempts will reasonably accommodate the complainant’s disability status, language proficiency, and incarceration status.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. If COPA, BIA, or the Accountability Sergeant is unable to obtain a signed complainant affidavit despite having made reasonable attempts to do so, COPA or BIA (for investigations conducted by both BIA and Accountability Sergeants) will assess whether the evidence collected in the preliminary investigation is sufficient to continue the investigation. b. If the preliminary investigation reveals objective verifiable evidence suggesting it is necessary and appropriate for the investigation to continue, BIA (for investigations conducted by BIA and Accountability Sergeants) will seek written approval for an override affidavit executed by the Chief Administrator of COPA, and COPA (for investigations conducted by COPA) will seek written approval for an override affidavit executed by the Chief of BIA. c. The Chief Administrator of COPA or the Chief of BIA will provide an override affidavit if there is objective verifiable evidence suggesting it is necessary and appropriate, and in the interests of justice, for the investigation to continue.
550 (a), (b), (c - i, ii, iii, iv), (d), (e), (f)	<p>By April 2020, CPD and COPA will electronically publish quarterly and annual reports that will include, at a minimum, the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. aggregate data on the classification of allegations, self-reported complainant demographic information, and complaints received from anonymous or third party complainants; b. aggregate data on complaints received from the public, specified by district or unit of assignment and subcategorized by classification of allegations; c. aggregate data on the processing of investigations, including: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. The average time from the receipt of the complaint by COPA, BIA, or the district to the next or initial contact with the complainant or his or her representative; ii. The average time from the investigative findings and recommendations to the final disciplinary decision:

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> iii. The average time from the investigative findings and recommendations to a final disposition; and iv. The number of investigations closed based on the absence of a complainant affidavit, including the number of attempts (if any) to obtain an override in the absence of a signed complainant affidavit d. aggregate data on the outcomes of administrative investigations, including the number of sustained, not sustained, exonerated, and unfounded allegations; the number of sustained allegations resulting in a non-disciplinary outcome; and the number resulting in disciplinary charges; e. aggregate data on discipline, including the number of investigations resulting in written reprimand, suspension, demotion, and termination; f. aggregate data on grievance proceedings arising from misconduct investigations, including: the number of cases grieved; the number of cases that proceeded before the Police Board; the number of cases that proceeded to arbitration; and the number of cases that were settled prior to a full evidentiary hearing, where before the Police Board or in arbitration:
550 (g), (h – i, ii), (i), (j)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> g. aggregate data on outcomes of misconduct investigations by classification of allegations, broken down by self-reported race, gender, and age of the complainant and the CPD member; h. aggregate data on (i) the number of CPD members who have been the subject of more than two completed misconduct investigations in the previous 12 months, and (ii) the number of CPD members who have had more than one sustained allegation of misconduct in the previous 12 months, including the number of sustained allegations; i. aggregate data on CPD members who have been the subject, in the previous 12 months, of more than two complaints in the following classifications of allegations, regardless of the outcome of those complaint investigations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. allegations of discriminatory policing based on an individual’s membership or perceived membership in an identifiable group, based upon, but not limited to: race, physical or mental disability, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, religion, and age; ii. allegations of excessive force; and iii. allegations of unlawful stops, searches and arrests; j. the disposition of misdemeanor criminal prosecutions of current CPD members.
551	BIA’s quarterly and annual reports will include data reflecting investigations conducted by the districts.

Paragraph #	Consent Decree Definitions
733	“Body-worn camera” means audio-visual recording equipment that is worn affixed to an officer’s person, uniform, or equipment, with the capability of capturing, recording, and storing audio and/or visual information for later viewing.
740	“Complaint” means one or more allegations of misconduct reported to COPA, CPD, or OIG.
749	“District” means one of the geographic subdivisions designated by CPD, currently numbering 22 in total, which together cover the entirety of the City and are each led by a member of the command staff.

755	“Final disciplinary decision” means the final decision of the Superintendent or his or her designee regarding whether to issue or recommend discipline after review and consideration of the investigative findings and recommendations, including after any additional investigation conducted as a result of such review. For COPA investigations, the final disciplinary decision occurs after the conclusion of the process described in Chicago Municipal Code Section 2-78-130(a).
756	“Final disposition” means the status of a misconduct investigation after the final disciplinary decision, and any grievance process, arbitration, Police Board proceeding, or appeal relating to the final disciplinary decision.
760	“Intake process” means the system for processing all non-confidential complaints and administrative notifications by COPA.
768	“Misconduct investigation” means the administrative investigation of a complaint or an administrative notification that progresses past a preliminary investigation.
797	“Unit” means any bureau, group, section, organizational segment, or other subset of CPD that is officially established within CPD’s organizational structure and commanded by supervisory Department members.

Introduction

The Chicago Police Department's Bureau of Internal Affairs (BIA) is pleased to present the BIA Quarterly Report for the third quarter of 2024 (01 July through 30 September). This report aims to provide transparency into the Bureau of Internal Affairs operations by publishing Bureau operational information and statistical data on misconduct investigations available to members of the public, the Independent Monitor, and other governmental agencies. The Bureau of Internal Affairs is dedicated to ensuring that Chicago Police Department Members are held to the highest standards of ethical behavior and professional conduct. BIA will regularly publish comprehensive misconduct investigation data to demonstrate accountability and transparency and to continue building trust and confidence in the community that we serve. (**Consent Decree 550**)

Mission of the Bureau of Internal Affairs

Our mission is "to ensure integrity and ethical conduct within the **Department** through leadership, education, and accountability." The members of BIA are committed to conducting complete and thorough investigations into **Allegations** of misconduct against Chicago Police Department members within the parameters of Department Policy, applicable laws, and **Collective Bargaining Agreements (CBAs)** between unions representing Department members and the City of Chicago.

Investigations Conducted by BIA

The Bureau of Internal Affairs is responsible for coordinating and conducting investigations concerning allegations of misconduct and violations of Department policy by Chicago Police Department members. All formally initiated **Complaints of Misconduct** against Chicago Police Department members are first sent to the **Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA)** for review and assessment. COPA will refer complaints that are not under its investigative jurisdiction (as outlined in Chicago Municipal Code 2-78-120) to BIA, to the **Office of the Inspector General (OIG)**, or to the appropriate federal or local law enforcement agencies for investigation.

The Bureau of Internal Affairs coordinates and exercises supervision over disciplinary matters involving alleged or suspected violations of statutes, ordinances, and Department rules and regulations; coordinates the assignment of Log Number investigations, serves as a repository for all Department records of Log Number investigations; conducts overt and covert field investigations; and is responsible for detecting corrupt practices involving Department members. BIA also coordinates with COPA on disciplinary matters that affect members and ensures the consistent administration of bargaining agreement rights for members represented by existing labor agreements.

Examples of investigations under BIA's responsibility include the following:

- criminal allegations
- allegations as a result of civil lawsuits
- City of Chicago residency violations
- medical roll abuse
- racial profiling
- Equal Employment Opportunity violations (EEOC)
- police impersonation
- decertification of sworn peace officers
- narcotic sales and trafficking
- prison letter investigations
- notice of disclosure/perjury

Any complaints of violations of Department policy and Department Rules and Regulations (i.e. failure to identify, inadequate/failure to provide police service, neglect of duty, conduct unbecoming, etc.) are also under the investigative jurisdiction of BIA.

Structure of the Bureau of Internal Affairs

The Chicago Police Department's Bureau of Internal Affairs is commanded by a Chief who reports directly to the Superintendent of Police. The Chief is assisted by an Executive Officer, the rank of Deputy Chief, a civilian Deputy Director, and a Commander. As of September 30, 2024, the Bureau of Internal Affairs was staffed by approximately 91 members, which includes Lieutenants, Sergeants, Detectives, and Police Officers. There are also approximately 113 trained Accountability Sergeants assigned to **Districts** and **Units** throughout the Department, conducting Log Number investigations in accordance with bureau policy. In 2023, BIA handled the intake of over 5,281 complaints referred from COPA.

The Bureau of Internal Affairs is comprised of three investigative sections as well as five investigative support/auxiliary sections. The three investigative sections are the Investigations Section (divided into General Investigations and Special Investigations, each headed by a supervising Lieutenant), the Confidential Investigations Section (divided into Confidential Investigations and the Medical Integrity Unit, both headed by a Lieutenant), and the **Accountability Investigations Section**. The Accountability Investigations Section is headed by a BIA Lieutenant who is responsible for monitoring and reviewing investigations conducted by Accountability Sergeants assigned to districts and units throughout the Department.

The five investigative support and auxiliary sections are Administration, Intake / Analytical, Advocate, Records, and Consent Decree Compliance. The **Administrative Section** oversees the operational needs of the Bureau at the direction of the BIA Chief. The **Intake/Analytical Section** is responsible for initial assessments of complaints received from COPA and the assignment of Log Number investigations to BIA Investigators or Accountability Sergeants. The **Advocate Section** is headed by a BIA Lieutenant,

an attorney, who serves as the Department Advocate and offers guidance on the application of policies and procedures for the disciplinary process. The **Records Section** is the repository for all Log Number investigative files. Finally, the **Consent Decree Compliance Section** is responsible for the development and training of Department Members in BIA matters, as well as overseeing the Bureau's continued efforts to achieve compliance with the Consent Decree.

Investigations Section

GENERAL INVESTIGATIONS

- Drug or alcohol abuse
- Rule Violations
- Ordinance/Misdemeanor Violations
- Follow up on arrests of Department Members
- Complaints derived from civil lawsuits filed against the Department and individual members
- Investigations that involve more than one Department unit of assignment
- Reassignments from outside units
- Initial investigations that involve administering duty restrictions on a Department Member
- Internet and Social Media investigations
- Any other issue as assigned by the Chief or other BIA Exempt Member

SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS

- EEOC complaints (i.e., protected class, sexual harassment, and hostile work environment)
- Investigations where the accused is a Lieutenant or of higher rank

Confidential Investigations Section

CONFIDENTIAL INVESTIGATIONS SECTION

- Violation of local, state, and federal laws
- Narcotic sales and trafficking
- Residency violations
- Allegations of coercion
- Financial crimes
- Internet and social media investigations
- **Sexual crimes** (Per the Department's General Order #G08-06 "Prohibitions of Sexual Misconduct", reports of sexual misconduct will be investigated by COPA. When a sexual misconduct report is criminal in nature, COPA will confer with BIA about the details of the investigation involving the Department member at which time COPA and BIA may jointly agree BIA may conduct the administrative investigation into allegations of sexual misconduct when it is jointly determined that doing so avoids unnecessary disruption to the complainant). **(Consent Decree 441, 443)**
- Any other investigation as directed by the Chief of BIA or other BIA exempt member

MEDICAL INVESTIGATIONS SECTION

- False reported injury
- Working secondary employment while on the medical roll
- Not following proper medical roll procedures
- Compliance with work restrictions
- Irregularities with injury or sickness versus time on the medical roll
- Five or more medical events within a twelve-month period
- Investigations where the accused is a Lieutenant or of higher rank
- Any other investigation as directed by the Chief of BIA or other BIA exempt member

Accountability Investigations Section

ACCOUNTABILITY INVESTIGATIONS

- BIA-trained accountability sergeants assigned to Districts and Units conduct Log Number investigations for less serious allegations involving violations of Department Rules and Regulations.
- Investigations are conducted under the guidance of the BIA Accountability Lieutenant and in accordance with BIA Policies, subject to BIA approval.

BIA Investigative Support and Auxiliary Sections

ADMINISTRATIVE SECTION

- Coordinates the operational needs of the Bureau at the direction of the Chief of BIA

INTAKE/ANALYTICAL SECTION

- Coordinates intake of Log Numbers transferred by COPA
- Communicates with complainants to gather further information
- Assigns Log Numbers for investigations

ADVOCATE SECTION

- Supervised by a Lieutenant, the BIA Department Advocate is an attorney who offers guidance regarding the application of policies and procedures on disciplinary

RECORDS SECTION

- Repository for all Log Number investigative files

CONSENT DECREE COMPLIANCE SECTION

- Develops and executes unit and department level training in BIA subjects
- Assesses Bureau policy to maintain compliance with Consent Decree

How to File a Complaint

Anyone wishing to file a complaint against a Chicago Police Department member may do so by phone, online (<https://www.chicagocopa.org/complaints/>), by mail, or in person at a COPA or CPD facility. The City of Chicago's Office of the Inspector General also provides an online fraud, waste, or abuse complaint form, which may be submitted anonymously (see below for OIG contact information). *Complaints may be initiated by the identified **Reporting Party**, anonymously, or by a **Third Party** with knowledge of alleged misconduct.* All complaints can be submitted anonymously and without a sworn affidavit. The information provided by the reporting party during initiation and the investigation is crucial to ensure accountability for a Department member's actions. A complaint against supervisors of the rank of Sergeants, Lieutenants, and Captains will require a sworn affidavit per the respective current collective bargaining agreements.

COPA, BIA, and OIG Contact Information

Civilian Office of Police Accountability (COPA)

1615 W. Chicago Avenue, 4th Floor
Chicago, IL 60622
(312) 743-COPA or TTY (312) 745-3598
<https://www.chicagocopa.org>

Bureau of Internal Affairs (BIA)


3510 S. Michigan Avenue (Public Safety Headquarters), or any CPD facility
Chicago, IL 60653
BIA: (312) 745-6310
<https://home.chicagopolice.org/>

City of Chicago Office of the Inspector General (OIG)

740 N. Sedgwick Street, Suite 200
Chicago, IL 60654
24 Hour Hotline: (866) 448-4754 TTY (773) 478-2066
talk2ig@igchicago.org
<https://www.chicago.gov/city/en/depts/igo.html>

Complaint Intake and Assignment

All registered complaints are assigned a **Log Number**, which is a unique tracking number that remains with a complaint for its duration. A reporting party will be provided with the Log Number at the time the complaint is made. All Log Numbers are initially routed to COPA, where the agency will evaluate whether the allegation(s) fall under its investigative jurisdiction (please see image below). The reporting party is able to track their complaint's status by calling COPA at 312-746-3609 or through COPA's case portal (<https://www.chicagocopa.org/data-cases/case-portal/>); alternatively, by calling BIA at (312) 745-6310 or online through BIA's case portal (https://data.cityofchicago.org/Public-Safety/BIA-Cases-By-Involved-Officer/t7km-zpxd/about_data). Data in both case portals is updated daily and available for access at any time by clicking on the "Public Safety" tab and "Data" in the upper left-hand corner of the page.



COPA will forward Log Numbers that do not meet their investigative jurisdiction to BIA for investigation. BIA's Intake Section will conduct a preliminary assessment of each Log Number upon arrival, communicate with the reporting party as needed, refine category codes or attempt to classify uncategorized alleged misconduct based on available information, and assign Log Number investigations (**Cases**) to either **BIA Investigators** or **Accountability Sergeants** for investigation. The Intake Section may also administratively close Log Numbers within BIA policy (e.g. complaints that will be addressed by judicial/administrative hearing, duplicates, lack of information/unable to contact reporting party, or the accused is not a CPD Member), and will notify the reporting party of this decision and the process to re-open the complaint.

Investigators and Investigations

The BIA Intake Section will assign Log Number investigations to BIA Investigators or Accountability Sergeants (“Investigators”) in the Investigations Section, Confidential Investigations Section, or Accountability Investigations Section based on the misconduct alleged by the complaint and/or the rank of the Accused Member. All BIA Investigators and Accountability Sergeant candidates must meet the minimum qualification criteria as delineated in special order #S08-01 "Complaint and Disciplinary Investigators and Investigations", and be vetted prior to assignment to BIA or as an Accountability Sergeant. The minimum criteria include:

- No outstanding debt to the City of Chicago.
- A minimum of one year in grade as a sergeant or a police officer (assigned as a detective).
- An acceptable medical use and attendance record.
- Performance evaluations of "Exceeds Expectations" or "Meet Expectations".
- A disciplinary history that includes:
 - No sustained complaint investigation resulting in suspended time within the past year.
 - No sustained complaint investigation of excessive use of force, false reports, or verbal abuse within the past five years.
 - No more than two sustained complaint investigations within the last five years resulting in any suspended time.
 - No open or pending complaint investigations that may result in discipline and would impede the candidate's ability to achieve the accountability goals of BIA as determined by the Chief of BIA.

On July 1, 2021, the SAFE-T Act (PA 101-0652) went into effect which eliminated the **Sworn Affidavit** requirement for any Fraternal Order of Police (FOP) – Chicago Lodge #7 members (police officers). Any person wishing to make a complaint against a sworn FOP Department member may do so without a signed sworn affidavit. The exception is for Sergeants, Lieutenants, and Captains who are still under a collective bargaining agreement with the Police Benevolent and Protective Association (PBPA). All **Anonymous Complaints** will be preliminarily investigated, (including when the accused is a member of the PBPA).

Investigators will conduct a preliminary investigation to discover and preserve any and all **Objective Verifiable Evidence** relevant to the complaint, including (but not limited to) audio/video, physical evidence, arrest reports, photographs, GPS records, computer data, and witness interviews. If applicable to secure a signed sworn affidavit (*for PBPA members only*), investigators will make reasonable attempts to contact the reporting party.

If an investigator is unable, after reasonable attempts, to secure a signed sworn affidavit (*for PBPA members only*) but discovers objective and verifiable evidence of misconduct suggesting it is necessary and appropriate for an investigation to continue, that investigator shall request a **Sworn Affidavit**

Override for evaluation and approval by the Chief of BIA (*Table #18 in this report includes data on sworn affidavit overrides*). If approved, this request will then be submitted to the Chief Administrator of COPA for evaluation and approval. The Chief Administrator of COPA will provide a sworn affidavit override if there is objective verifiable evidence suggesting it is necessary and appropriate, and in the interests of justice, for the investigation to continue. **(Consent Decree 463 a-c)**

While conducting complaint investigations, BIA Investigators and Accountability Sergeants are required to adhere to Department policies and procedures as outlined in the Department's Accountability directives (General and Special Orders). These policies can be found on the CPD Department Directive website: <https://directives.chicagopolice.org/>, under the Professionalism subcategory. These policies include the details of each step in the investigatory process, specifically communication procedures and investigation timelines. Both of these topics are important for investigators to observe in order to complete thorough investigations in a timely manner. BIA Investigators and Accountability Sergeants utilize a dashboard feature within the **Case Management System** (CMS) that requires acknowledgment of timelines and materials to include in each investigation. The investigators are unable to proceed until certain data fields, radio buttons, or drop-down boxes are completed. This enhancement to the case management system assists the supervisors of both BIA Investigators and District Accountability Sergeants with the review of investigation materials and ensuring timelines are met. In addition to reviewing cases in the case management system, BIA supervisors meet with investigators monthly for case review sessions and frequently meet informally with investigators as they are all located within the same office. Accountability Sergeants are supervised by a BIA Supervising Lieutenant (who heads the Accountability Sergeant Team) for misconduct investigations and by the respective District Commander or unit commanding officer for all other responsibilities.

Findings in Misconduct Investigations

A Log Number investigation that progresses past the preliminary investigation and in which a signed Sworn Affidavit or Affidavit Override has been obtained (when applicable for PBPA members only) is considered a **Misconduct Investigation**. Upon conclusion of a full misconduct investigation (which will include preservation and evaluation of evidence and interviews of the reporting party and witnesses), the investigator will formulate specific allegations that will be formally served to the Accused Member(s) before obtaining the Member's statement. A single Log Number investigation may contain several allegations, and each allegation will result in one of the following findings:

Findings in Misconduct Investigations	
Sustained	Where it has been determined that the allegation is supported by a preponderance of the evidence.
Not Sustained	Where it has been determined that there is insufficient evidence to prove the allegations by a preponderance of the evidence.
Unfounded	Where it has been determined, by clear and convincing evidence, that an allegation is false or not factual.
Exonerated	Where it has been determined, by clear and convincing evidence, that the conduct described in the allegation occurred but is lawful and proper.

Alternative Classification—No Sworn Affidavit/No Affidavit Override

No Affidavit	A classification used in place of a finding for a Log Number investigation in which a Sworn Affidavit is <u>required</u> but not obtained (i.e. no contact, no cooperation, refusal to cooperate etc.), where the preliminary investigation fails to uncover objective and verifiable evidence of misconduct permitting a Sworn Affidavit override; effectively ending the investigation.
---------------------	---

Investigative Findings to Final Disposition

Upon completion of an investigation, the investigator will determine a finding for each allegation. Investigations containing Exonerated, Unfounded, or Not Sustained allegations will be reviewed by the Advocate Section and Command Staff through a process known as **Command Channel Review (CCR)**. Once approved, these investigations will then be closed by the Advocate Section. The reporting party will receive notice of the resolution of their case, along with the contact information for the BIA Advocate Section. The Accused Department member(s) will also receive notice of the disposition of the investigation at this time.

If an investigator determines the allegations to be Sustained, the investigator will consider the Department members' complimentary and disciplinary histories to recommend a penalty. This is referred to as the **Investigative Findings and Recommendations**. Investigations containing Sustained allegations will be reviewed by the Advocate Section and the appropriate Command staff through CCR, and finally by the Chief of BIA. Investigations with Sustained allegations in COPA cases will be reviewed by the Superintendent or his/her designee. When the Superintendent or the Chief of BIA approves of the finding and penalty in a Sustained misconduct investigation, this is referred to as the **Final Disciplinary Decision**. Following this Final Disciplinary Decision, Department members will receive a notification and may accept the penalty, file a **Grievance**, or request a review by the City of Chicago **Police Board** for certain qualifying penalties. Upon acceptance of the penalty, any resolution of grievances, a decision by the Police Board, or an appeal to court, the investigation is classified as the **Final Disposition**. At this point, the Advocate Section will notify the reporting party that the complaint has resulted in a Sustained finding against a Department member. The case will be closed when CPD's Finance Division provides proof that the member has served a suspension (if applicable). An Administrative Summary Report (ASR), containing information for completed BIA investigations resulting in findings, can be located at <https://home.chicagopolice.org/statistics-data/data-dashboards/accountability-dashboard-2/>, under the "ASR Index" tab.

Community Engagement

A **Reporting Party** may check the status of their complaint (by referencing the Log Number) at any time during the intake, investigation, or post-investigation processing phase by calling BIA at (312) 745-6310 or online at: https://data.cityofchicago.org/Public-Safety/BIA-Cases-By-Involved-Officer/t7km-zpxd/about_data.

In Quarter 3 of 2024, BIA continues to implement the above data portal (similar to the COPA data portal located on the City of Chicago Data website, under the Public Safety tab) that allows users to access the status of any investigation using the assigned Log Number. The portal is specific to BIA cases and displays the Log Number, the date of the complaint, the case (complaint) type, the current status, the Administrative Summary Report (ASR) link once the ASR has been published, the final category of the complaint allegations, and the finding code (sustained, not sustained, exonerated, or unfounded). The portal went live in June and the data is updated daily.

BIA continues to summarize its work through quarterly and annual reports that are posted to the Department's Accountability Dashboard: <https://home.chicagopolice.org/statistics-data/data-dashboards/accountability-dashboard-2/>, under the "Reports" tab. The reports all include an executive summary, a glossary of terms and consent decree related paragraphs, the structure of BIA, instructions on how a complaint may be filed, and relative data that includes the number, type, and length of misconduct allegation investigations. Additionally, the quarterly and annual reports outline complaint intake, a detailed description of the complaint and investigatory process, findings in misconduct investigations, and the path of an investigation once it is submitted. The BIA Accountability 2 dashboard displays complaint information regarding sworn CPD members from February 10, 2019, to the present, and it allows users to filter by year, month, and district. The dashboard includes several useful tabs:

- Overview of Complaint Records
- Complaint Submission Page (to file a new complaint)
- Complaint Status Check (to check the status of an existing complaint)
- Overview of Investigative Outcomes
- Demographic Information (Complainant and Investigated CPD Member)
- BIA Quarterly and Annual Reports
- BIA Administrative Summary Reports
- BIA Community Engagement Activities

In addition to the BIA Satisfaction Survey that is sent to the reporting party and the Department member at the conclusion of a complaint investigation, BIA has also included on the Accountability Dashboard 2 home page a satisfaction survey available to the general public. In the third quarter of 2024, 8 surveys were completed; some notable responses include: in Quarter 3, less than half were filed online with CPD, half of the respondents are aware that CPD rules and regulations are available online to the public, more than half of respondents know that CPD has a policy that prohibits retaliation of any kind, and 2/3 of respondents acknowledged they had received the written explanation known as the Administrative Summary Report (ASR) at the conclusion of the investigation. BIA will continue to take advantage of opportunities to inform the public of the rules and regulations of the Chicago Police Department. Two related issues that arose from responses are: half of respondents did not feel like the investigator kept them up to date on the progress of the complaint, and approximately half of respondents felt they were not informed on how to check the status of the investigation. In Quarter 3 of 2024, as mentioned above, BIA implemented a data portal (located on the City of Chicago data portal website) that allows users to check the status of a complaint (by Log Number) at any time. The new portal information is now located on the BIA Accountability 2 Dashboard website.

As always, the bureau welcomes input from the community and will continue to work to incorporate new strategies to gather that feedback. The BIA public email address is available for any comments and / or questions: BIFeedback@chicagopolice.org.

Training

In the third quarter of 2024, BIA conducted five training sessions for **221** identified Department members (pre-service, onboard, and recruit). The sessions provided an overview of BIA, the complaint investigation and disciplinary process, and responsibilities specific to the respective positions. Pre-service classes are for Department members in promotional training, onboard training is for newly assigned BIA Investigators or Accountability Sergeants, and recruit trainings are conducted for recruit officers in the CPD Academy training program. The training conducted in the third quarter of 2024 include:

- Case Management System (CMS) for 16 Department Members (one session that included 14 Accountability Sergeants, 1 Police Officer, and 1 Detective) *this is the onboard training for all new BIA investigators.*
- Pre-service Lieutenants (topics included an overview of BIA, Log Numbers, call-out incidents, and responsibilities specific to Lieutenants) for 17 Department members
- Pre-service Sergeants (topics include an overview of BIA, the complaint and investigation and disciplinary process, call-out incidents, and responsibilities specific to sergeants) for 81 Department members
- Pre-service Field Training Officers for 45 officers (topics include the Chicago Police Department's Rules and Regulations and Ethics)
- Recruit Officers (two sessions that included the Chicago Police Department's Rules and Regulations and Ethics) for 62 recruit officers in the CPD Recruit Training Program

In 2024, BIA will continue to identify trends and training opportunities and conduct training for all pre-service, onboard, and recruit officers as needed. BIA will also continue to mentor new BIA Investigators and District Accountability Sergeants, and most importantly, continue to investigate allegations of misconduct in a fair, thorough, and timely manner.

Data Collection

The Case Management System (CMS) is the application used to process all misconduct investigations and maintains the data (Log Number, classification, and status) from complaint initiation through final disposition. The CMS received several enhancements in the third quarter of 2024 including: the BIA Message Center which informs Department Members of new updates to the CMS; the ability to capture related items within the system; the ability to create a keyword intake function to track complaints by major events (DNC, protests, Air and Water show, Lollapalooza, etc.); and lastly the Conflict of Interest tab (Log Number Conflict Certification) was updated to reflect current policy.

Data presented in this report was queried from the Case Management System (CMS) which has been in use since February 11, 2019. Any remaining cases in the previous system, (Auto CR), are being closed

out on a continual basis and the legacy data is being incorporated into the CMS. Due to this data migration, there are cases that may not have all data fields (such as sub-categories) completed which will result in Null findings. Data sources and filters throughout this report are included in notes below each table unless indicated otherwise. All data in this report reflects investigations conducted by BIA Investigators and the District Accountability Sergeants **(Consent Decree 551)**. The term "CPD cases" includes investigations conducted by BIA and District Accountability Sergeants.

Quarter 3 Overview

The following table contains the current status (as of November 1, 2024) of all Complaint Register and Information/Complaint Log Numbers received by CPD in the third quarter of 2024. COPA received **1313** Log Numbers (*6.67% less than Q3 of 2023*), and of those, **779 (59.33%)** were opened as cases by CPD and assigned for investigation (*1.52% less than Q3 of last year*). **525 (39.98%)** of these Log Numbers were administratively closed or under administrative closure review (*a decrease of 13.65% from Q3 of 2023*) and **9 (0.69%)** were undergoing the Intake Review process. The specific reasons for the Administrative Closure will be detailed in Table 2. As mentioned earlier in this report, cases can be administratively closed within BIA policy (i.e. when complaints will be addressed by judicial/administrative hearings, when there are duplicate cases, when there is a lack of information and the investigator is unable to contact the reporting party, or when the accused is not a Department member). In these cases, the reporting party is notified of the decision to close the complaint and the process to re-open the complaint.

Table 1. Status of Complaint Register Information/Complaint Log Numbers^{1, 2, 3}

Record Status	Total Complaints
Administratively Closed	525
Advocate Review	8
Case Final	36
Command Channel Review	6
Intake Review	9
Investigator Assignment	6
Under BIA Investigation	334
Under District Investigation	389
Total	1313

¹ Current record status of Complaints assigned to the CPD between 01 July 2024 and 30 September 2024.

² All figures related to pending complaints are preliminary and subject to further analysis and revision.

³ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

Table 2. Administratively Closed Log Numbers (filtered by reason)^{1, 2, 3}

Reason	Total Administrative Closures
Refer the matter to another unit (not for complaint-register investigation, but as an FYI)	122
Preliminary investigation revealed accused's actions in compliance with CPD directives and its Rules and Regulations	83
Allegation is being investigated under another Log Number	60
Administrative Termination	53
There is not enough information presently available to proceed with the investigation	50
Accused is not a CPD member	50
Incident addressed via Summary Punishment Action Request	20
Attempt file a report - No Complaint Against a Department Member	19
Refer the matter to Unit 143 - Crisis Intervention Team	14
Allegation is being referred to the Office of the Inspector General	11
BIA Intake Section unsuccessful in attempts to contact complainant in order to proceed with the investigation	9
Allegation is being referred to Outside Agency	8
Traffic or Parking Citation Dissatisfaction	7
Complainant provided no contact information	5
Allegation does not constitute a violation of CPD directives and/or CPD Rules and Regulations	3
Complaint to be (or was) adjudicated in criminal court because of arrest or summons	2
Traffic citation and no allegations of misconduct	1
Non-Disciplinary Intervention	1
No Complaint - Notification of Taser Discharge	1
No Complaint	1
Furnish the complainant with contact information to another City department (eg. CFD, OEMC, Finance)	1
Complainant / Victim - Refused to Prosecute	1
Civil Matter - No current violation of CPD Rules or Regulations	1
BIA Investigator unsuccessful in attempts to contact complainant in order to proceed with the investigation	1
Administrative Notice of Violation and no allegations of misconduct	1
Grand Total	525

¹ Status Reason of Administratively Closed Complaints assigned to the CPD between 01 July 2024 and 30 September 2024.

² Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

³ Log Number may be closed subject to the accused member's rank and various arbitration awards.


The following table and chart reflect the total number of Log Number investigations (cases) opened and closed by CPD in the third quarter of 2024 along with the year-to-date totals.

Table 3. Cases Opened and Closed by CPD^{5, 6}
(includes the number of allegations and identified accused CPD members)

The number of opened cases decreased by 1.52% compared to the same timeframe in 2023 (791 cases opened in the third quarter of 2023), and the number of closed cases decreased by 32.48% (140 fewer cases than Q3 of 2023). Please refer to Table #4 for a further breakdown of opened and closed cases as assigned to BIA Investigators and Accountability Sergeants.

Case Overview	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	YTD
Cases Opened ¹	513	568	779	1860
Cases Closed ²	439	429	291	1159
Allegations ³	1656	1539	1817	5012
Identified Accused Members ⁴	740	715	885	2340

BIA Case Data Overview (Q1-Q2-Q3-YTD)



¹ Complaints assigned to the CPD; excluding administrative closures.

² Complaints closed by the CPD; excluding administrative closures.

³ Allegations include those associated with identified and unknown accused members for all cases that were opened.

⁴ Identified accused members in Complaints assigned to the CPD, excluding administrative closures, and for all cases that were opened.

⁵ All figures related to pending complaints are preliminary and subject to further analysis and revision.

⁶ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

⁷ The disproportionate number of allegations compared to other metrics is explained by understanding that: 1. There may be multiple allegations in a single Log Investigation; and 2. There is no way to attribute specific allegations to specific officers. All allegations are attributed to all accused personnel in any given case.

Table 4. Cases Opened and Closed by CPD³

(filtered by assignment to BIA Investigators and District Accountability Sergeants)

50.06% of all cases opened in the third quarter of 2024 were assigned to BIA investigators, while 49.94% of cases opened were assigned to Accountability Sergeants. All data in this table reflects investigations conducted by both CPD BIA Investigators and District Accountability Sergeants. As mentioned earlier in this report, the overall number of cases assigned to BIA from COPA in Quarter 3 of 2024 decreased in comparison to Quarter 3 of 2023.

CPD Case Overview	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	YTD
Cases Opened ¹ - BIA	247	266	390	903
Cases Opened ¹ - District	266	302	389	957
Cases Closed ² - BIA	221	251	193	665
Cases Closed ² - District	218	178	98	494

¹ Complaints assigned to the CPD; excluding administrative closures.

² Complaints closed by the CPD; excluding administrative closures.

³ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

The table below contains data for cases that COPA's Intake Section has opened, assigned to a COPA investigator who has investigated and determined the allegations in the case as Unfounded, Not Sustained, or Administratively Closed, but has identified possible allegations that fall under BIA's investigative jurisdiction. At this point, the case is transferred to BIA to investigate the possible allegations, and the case will be administratively closed or assigned to a BIA Investigator or Accountability Sergeant for investigation. COPA transferred 185 of these cases to BIA in Quarter 3 compared to 172 in the second quarter of 2024 and 195 cases in the first quarter of 2024.

Table 5. Cases Transferred from COPA to BIA (after COPA investigation and findings for allegations)⁵

# of Days Open ¹	Qty. of transferred cases ²	Ave. # of Days Open ³	Assigned to BIA Investigator ⁴	Assigned to Accountability Sgt. ⁴
1 to 9	36	70	24	12
10 to 19	29	71	9	20
20 to 29	39	85	18	21
30 to 49	59	75	28	31
50 to 99	17	79	10	7
100 and above	5	80	3	2

¹This number reflects the duration of time (days) from when a case is opened by the COPA intake Section, assigned and investigated by COPA with a finding of Unfounded, Not Sustained, or Administratively Closed for the allegations, and then transferred to BIA for investigation, or administratively closed by BIA.

²The quantity of cases opened by the COPA Intake Section, assigned and investigated by COPA with a finding of Unfounded, Not Sustained, or Administratively Closed for the allegations, and then transferred to BIA for investigation, or administratively closed by BIA.

³The average duration of time (days) from when a case was opened by the COPA Intake Section, assigned and investigated by COPA with a finding of Unfounded, Not Sustained, or Administratively Closed for the allegations, and then transferred to BIA for investigation, or administratively closed by BIA.

⁴The BIA personnel assigned the case once it has been transferred from COPA.

⁵Data retrieved 19 November 2024.

Classification of Allegations (Consent Decree 550-a)

For most Log Numbers, COPA will classify allegations of misconduct into a **Category Code** prior to transfer to CPD. BIA Intake will refine the primary category code based on additional or clarifications of information gathered from a reporting party, or classify any uncategorized allegations into category codes upon receipt. The following table contains classifications, (at BIA Intake), of allegations of misconduct cases opened in Quarter 3 of 2024. There were **779** cases opened containing **1,817** total allegations. The top three allegation categories in the third quarter were Operation/Personnel Violations (65.33%), Civil Rights Violations (10.24%) and Conduct Unbecoming Violations (6.33%). A further breakdown of this secondary category classification will be explained later in this report (Table 9).

Table 6. Classification of Allegations^{1, 2, 3}

Category	Total	%
Operation/Personnel Violations	1,187	65.33%
Civil Rights Violation	186	10.24%
Conduct Unbecoming Violations	115	6.33%
Excessive Force	71	3.91%
Verbal Abuse	60	3.30%
Crime Misconduct	58	3.19%
Arrest/Lockup Incidents	49	2.70%
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	33	1.82%
Medical Integrity	17	0.94%
Supervisory Responsibilities	14	0.77%
Alcohol/Drug Abuse	7	0.39%
Weapon Discharge	5	0.28%
Drugs/Substance Abuse	4	0.22%
Domestic Incidents	4	0.22%
Bribery/Official Corruption	3	0.17%
Sexual Misconduct	2	0.11%
Search Warrants	1	0.06%
Coercion	1	0.06%
Total	1817	100%

¹ Allegations, associated with identified and unknown accused members, for Complaints assigned to the CPD; excluding administrative closures.

² All figures related to pending complaints are preliminary and subject to further analysis and revision.

³ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

Table 7. Cases Assigned to BIA Investigators ^{1, 2}
 (Filtered by allegation category code)

Just under half, **(47.28%)**, of all allegations in open and active cases were assigned to BIA Investigators in Quarter 3 of 2024. The percentage of allegations assigned for investigation by BIA investigators (relative to total allegations) *decreased* from Quarter 3 of 2023 (49.70%) to Quarter 3 of 2024 (47.28%) but the top three categories remained the same. Out of those allegations, **(61.47%)** fall under the Operation/Personnel Violations category.

BIA Investigators	Category	Total	%
BIA Investigators	Operation/Personnel Violations	528	61.47%
	Conduct Unbecoming Violations	74	8.61%
	Civil Rights Violation	61	7.10%
	Crime Misconduct	57	6.64%
	Verbal Abuse	30	3.49%
	Excessive Force	24	2.79%
	Arrest/Lockup Incidents	23	2.68%
	Medical Integrity	17	1.98%
	Supervisory Responsibilities	12	1.40%
	Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	10	1.16%
	Alcohol/Drug Abuse	6	0.70%
	Drugs/Substance Abuse	4	0.47%
	Domestic Incidents	4	0.47%
	Bribery/Official Corruption	3	0.35%
	Weapon Discharge	2	0.23%
	Sexual Misconduct	2	0.23%
	Search Warrants	1	0.12%
	Coercion	1	0.12%
Total	859	100%	

¹ Complaints assigned to the CPD; excluding administrative closures.

² Data retrieved on 1 November 2024

Table 8. Cases Assigned to Accountability Sergeants ^{1, 2}

(Filtered by allegation category code) (**Consent Decree 551**)

Just over half, **(52.72%)** of all allegations in open and active cases were assigned to Accountability Sergeants in Quarter 3 of 2024. The percentage of allegations assigned for investigation by District Accountability Sergeants (relative to total allegations) *increased* from Quarter 3 of 2023 (50.30%) to Quarter 3 of 2024 (52.72%). Out of these allegations, **68.79%** fall under the Operations/Personnel Violations category.

District	Category	Total	%
District Accountability Sergeants	Operation/Personnel Violations	659	68.79%
	Civil Rights Violation	125	13.05%
	Excessive Force	47	4.91%
	Conduct Unbecoming Violations	41	4.28%
	Verbal Abuse	30	3.13%
	Arrest/Lockup Incidents	26	2.71%
	Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	23	2.40%
	Weapon Discharge	3	0.31%
	Supervisory Responsibilities	2	0.21%
	Crime Misconduct	1	0.10%
	Alcohol/Drug Abuse	1	0.10%
	Total	958	100%

¹ Complaints assigned to the CPD; excluding administrative closures.

² Data retrieved on 1 November 2024

Operation/Personnel Violations comprised **68%** of primary allegation classifications (category code) for BIA cases opened in Quarter 3 of 2024. The following table specifies the secondary classifications (subcategory code) of Operation/Personnel Violations for the third quarter. Allegations of Neglect of Duty (29.91%), Inadequate/Failure to Provide Service (20.72%), and Conduct Unbecoming (19.46%) were the three most cited Operation/Personnel subcategories. *Please note that in the CMS system “Conduct Unbecoming” is a primary category classification as well as a subcategory classification for Operation/Personnel Violations.*

Table 9. Subcategories of Operation/Personnel Violations^{1, 2, 3}

Operation/Personnel Violations Subcategory	Total	%
Neglect of Duty	355	29.91%
Inadequate/Failure to Provide Service	246	20.72%
Conduct Unbecoming	231	19.46%
Reports	141	11.88%
Misuse of Department Equipment/Supplies	51	4.30%
Failure to Identify	40	3.37%
EEO Investigations	36	3.03%
Slow/ No Response	20	1.68%
Inventory Procedures - Non-Arrestee	12	1.01%
Misuse of Department Records	11	0.93%
Weapon	10	0.84%
Insubordination	9	0.76%
Leaving Assignment (District, Beat, Sector, Court)	7	0.59%
Traffic Pursuit	5	0.42%
Workplace Violence	4	0.34%
Compensatory Time	4	0.34%
Absent without Permission	2	0.17%
Secondary / Special Employment	1	0.08%
Late - Roll Call / Assignment / Court	1	0.08%
Court Attendance Irregularities	1	0.08%
Total	1187	100%

¹ Subcategories of Operation/Personnel Violation allegations, associated with identified and unknown accused members, for Complaints assigned to the CPD; excluding administrative closures.

² All figures related to pending complaints are preliminary and subject to further analysis and revision.

³ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

Reporting Party Demographic Information

(Consent Decree 550-a)

The following tables include the reporting party's ***self-reported** demographic information for BIA cases (assigned to both BIA Investigators and Accountability Sergeants) opened in the third quarter of 2024. The data below specifically contains a breakdown of all persons identified as the "Reporting Party" in the Case Management System (CMS) and includes information on both CPD and non-CPD reporting parties. The demographic data contained in the next four tables is presented first in the aggregate (Table #10) and then filtered to include complaints initiated by CPD members as all Reporting Party types (Table #11 - Subject, Third Party, and Witness), CPD members as Reporting Party Subject type only (Table #12), and complaints initiated by non-CPD members (civilians) (Table #13).

Table 10. Demographic Information of All Reporting Parties (CPD and Non-CPD)^{1, 2, 3, 4}

CPD Reporting Party	Asian	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Black or African American	Hispanic	White	Other Race	Unknown Race	Total	%
Female	5	1	175	47	80	2	23	333	31.99%
Male	13	2	171	93	228	6	27	540	51.87%
Other/Unknown	0	1	7	4	0	0	154	166	15.95%
Non-Binary/Third-Gender	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0.19%
Total	19	4	354	144	308	8	204	1041	100%
%	1.83%	0.38%	34.01%	13.83%	29.59%	0.77%	19.60%	100%	

¹ Complaints assigned to the CPD; excluding administrative closures.

² Demographics reflect CPD and Non-CPD persons listed as a Reporting Party.

³ All figures related to pending complaints are preliminary and subject to further analysis and revision.

⁴ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

*Unable to definitively determine if demographics are "self-reported," as some complaints are initiated in-person by a CPD Supervisor or COPA member, who may record a complainant's demographics based on perceived race/gender/age

Table 11. Demographic Information of CPD Reporting Party (Subject, Third Party, Witness)^{1, 2, 3, 4}

CPD Reporting Party	Asian	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Black or African American	Hispanic	White	Other Race	Unknown Race	Total	%
Female	3	0	39	20	48	0	0	110	27.99%
Male	10	0	29	57	183	0	0	279	70.99%
Other/ Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	1.02%
Non-Binary/ Third-Gender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
Total	13	0	68	77	231	0	4	393	100%
%	3.31%	0.00%	17.30%	19.59%	58.78%	0.00%	1.02%	100%	

¹ Complaints assigned to the CPD; excluding administrative closures.

² Demographics reflect CPD persons listed as a Reporting Party type.

³ All figures related to pending complaints are preliminary and subject to further analysis and revision.

⁴ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

Table 12. Demographic Information of CPD Reporting Party (Subject Only)^{1, 2, 3, 4}

CPD Reporting Party	Asian	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Black or African American	Hispanic	White	Other Race	Unknown Race	Total	%
Female	2	0	17	9	14	0	0	42	54.55%
Male	2	0	10	5	18	0	0	35	45.45%
Other/ Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
Non-Binary/ Third-Gender	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%
Total	4	0	27	14	32	0	0	77	100%
%	5.19%	0.00%	35.06%	18.18%	41.56%	0.00%	0.00%	100%	

¹ Complaints assigned to the CPD; excluding administrative closures.

² Demographics reflect CPD persons listed as a Reporting Party Subject only.

³ All figures related to pending complaints are preliminary and subject to further analysis and revision.

⁴ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

The following table demonstrates the self-reported demographics (race and gender) of only non-CPD reporting parties in BIA cases (assigned to both BIA Investigators and Accountability Sergeants) opened during the third quarter of 2024. The non-CPD reporting parties' self-reported gender demographic was reported as 40.28% Male, 34.41% by Female, and **25.00% Other/Unknown**. When broken down by race, the majority of non-CPD reporting parties are reported as **Black or African American (44.14%)**, **Unknown Race (30.86%)**, followed by **Hispanic (10.34%)**.

Table 13. Demographic Information of Civilian (non-CPD) Reporting Party^{1, 2, 3, 4}

CPD Reporting Party	Asian	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Black or African American	Hispanic	White	Other Race	Unknown Race	Total	%
Female	2	1	136	27	32	2	23	223	34.41%
Male	3	2	142	36	45	6	27	261	40.28%
Other/Unknown	0	1	7	4	0	0	150	162	25.00%
Non-Binary/Third-Gender	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0.31%
Total	6	4	286	67	77	8	200	648	100%
%	0.93%	0.62%	44.14%	10.34%	11.88%	1.23%	30.86%	100%	

¹ Complaints assigned to the CPD; excluding administrative closures.

² Demographics Non-CPD persons listed as a Reporting Party.

³ All figures related to pending complaints are preliminary and subject to further analysis and revision.

⁴ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

* Unable to definitively determine if demographics are "self-reported," as some complaints are initiated in person by a CPD Supervisor or COPA member, who may record a complainant's demographics based on perceived race/gender/age.

Third Party and Anonymous Reporting Party

The following table contains the total number of unique complaints received from third-party reporting parties (a party that was not directly involved or the recipient of the alleged misconduct) or from an Anonymous reporting party (whose identity is not known) for Quarter 3. There were **45** Reporting Party initiated complaints where *at least one* of the reporting parties was **Anonymous** and 405 complaints that included *at least one* **Third Party** individual (CPD or non-CPD).

Table 14. Number of Third Party and Anonymous Complaints^{3, 4,5}
(Consent Decree 550-a)

Reporting Party	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024
Anonymous ¹	19 14	31 28	45
Third Party ²	317 269	331 297	405

¹ Complaints assigned to the CPD. that identify at least one non-CPD Reporting Party as "Anonymous".

² Complaints assigned to the CPD that identify at least one Reporting Party as "Reporting Party: Third Party"

³ All figures related to pending complaints are preliminary and subject to further analysis and revision.


⁴ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

⁵ These are the counts for Q1 and Q2, as per data retrieved on 1 November 2024, accounting for further analysis and revision.

Chicago Police Department Districts, Beats and Community Areas




Chicago Police Department Districts, Beats and Community Areas



Office of Public Safety Administration
PSIT GIS
1-MAR-2023

Chicago Police District Map



Complaints Received from the Public

The table below contains data for allegations contained in civilian (non-CPD members) complaints filed in Quarter 3 of 2024, filtered by the Unit of Assignment/Detail of the accused Member and separated by investigator (BIA Investigator or Accountability Sergeant). The data in the following table was filtered to include all Reporting Party Types ("Subject", "Third Party", Witness") for all non-CPD individuals. The following Districts with the highest number of complaints in Quarter 3 are as follows: 002, 018, 011, 007, and 001.

Table 15. Civilian-Initiated Complaints (filtered by the Unit/District of assignment of Accused Member, the assigned investigator, BIA Investigator or Accountability Sergeant, and the allegation)^{1, 4, 5}
(Consent Decree 550-b and 551)

District/Unit of Assignment ³	BIA/District Investigators	Allegations	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	YTD	
001 - 1ST DISTRICT - CENTRAL	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	27	16	19	-	62	
		Civil Rights Violation	5	8	7	-	20	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	2	6	5	-	13	
		Verbal Abuse	1	0	2	-	3	
		Crime Misconduct	0	3	0	-	3	
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	0	3	-	3	
		Supervisory Responsibilities	1	0	1	-	2	
		Excessive Force	0	2	0	-	2	
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	0	0	-	1	
		Domestic Incidents	0	1	0	-	1	
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	28	30	31	-	89	
		Civil Rights Violation	8	9	1	-	18	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	2	4	4	-	10	
		Excessive Force	2	1	1	-	4	
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	4	0	-	4	
		Verbal Abuse	0	0	2	-	2	
	Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	0	2	-	2		
			Total	77	84	78	-	239
	002 - 2ND DISTRICT - WENTWORTH	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	13	13	29	-	55
Civil Rights Violation			7	0	5	-	12	
Crime Misconduct			2	0	2	-	4	

		Verbal Abuse	0	1	2	-	3
		Excessive Force	1	0	2	-	3
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	2	0	1	-	3
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	1	1	-	2
		Domestic Incidents	1	0	0	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	17	11	28	-	56
		Civil Rights Violation	11	0	12	-	23
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	0	3	-	4
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	0	4	-	4
		Verbal Abuse	0	0	2	-	2
		Excessive Force	2	0	0	-	2
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	0	2	-	2
		Total	57	26	93	-	176
003 - 3RD DISTRICT - GRAND CROSSING	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	25	16	27	-	68
		Civil Rights Violation	7	12	7	-	26
		Excessive Force	4	1	1	-	6
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	2	0	1	-	3
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0	2	-	3
		Verbal Abuse	2	0	0	-	2
		Supervisory Responsibilities	0	0	1	-	1
		Crime Misconduct	0	0	1	-	1
		Alcohol/Drug Abuse	1	0	0	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	40	41	30	-	111
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	10	0	0	-	10
		Civil Rights Violation	4	2	3	-	9
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	3	0	-	3
		Excessive Force	1	2	0	-	3
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	1	1	-	3
		Supervisory Responsibilities	0	1	0	-	1
		Total	98	79	74	-	251

004 - 4TH DISTRICT - SOUTH CHICAGO	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	14	12	4	-	30
		Crime Misconduct	0	4	0	-	4
		Civil Rights Violation	1	0	3	-	4
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	0	1	-	1
		Supervisory Responsibilities	0	0	1	-	1
		Domestic Incidents	0	1	0	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	20	20	10	-	50
		Civil Rights Violation	6	3	0	-	9
		Excessive Force	3	1	2	-	6
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	2	0	0	-	2
		Total	46	41	21	-	108
005 - 5TH DISTRICT - CALUMET	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	24	20	14	-	58
		Civil Rights Violation	0	15	2	-	17
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	7	2	-	9
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	6	1	0	-	7
		Crime Misconduct	3	0	1	-	4
		Excessive Force	0	3	0	-	3
		Domestic Incidents	0	2	0	-	2
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	2	0	-	2
		Verbal Abuse	1	0	0	-	1
		Supervisory Responsibilities	0	0	1	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	28	21	24	-	73
		Civil Rights Violation	9	5	4	-	18
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	5	0	-	6
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	3	0	-	4
		Verbal Abuse	1	2	0	-	3
		Excessive Force	1	0	1	-	2
			Total	75	86	49	-
006 - 6TH DISTRICT - GRESHAM	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	6	23	23	-	52
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	7	3	3	-	13
		Civil Rights Violation	3	3	5	-	11

		Medical Integrity	6	0	0	-	6	
		Crime Misconduct	1	3	1	-	5	
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	2	1	0	-	3	
		Supervisory Responsibilities	0	1	2	-	3	
		Domestic Incidents	0	3	0	-	3	
		Verbal Abuse	0	1	0	-	1	
		Excessive Force	0	1	0	-	1	
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	31	25	20	-	76	
		Civil Rights Violation	5	2	2	-	9	
		Excessive Force	2	2	0	-	4	
		Verbal Abuse	1	2	0	-	3	
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	3	0	0	-	3	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	1	0	-	2	
		Supervisory Responsibilities	1	0	0	-	1	
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	0	1	-	1	
		Alcohol/Drug Abuse	0	0	1	-	1	
		Total	69	71	58	-	198	
	007 - 7TH DISTRICT - ENGLEWOOD	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	15	13	17	-	45
			Civil Rights Violation	0	16	2	-	18
Conduct Unbecoming Violations			4	5	0	-	9	
Verbal Abuse			3	1	2	-	6	
Excessive Force			2	2	2	-	6	
Arrest/Lockup Incidents			0	1	5	-	6	
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)			1	2	0	-	3	
Crime Misconduct			0	0	3	-	3	
Supervisory Responsibilities			0	2	0	-	2	
District Acct. Sgt		Operation/Personnel Violations	34	37	39	-	110	
		Civil Rights Violation	26	26	5	-	57	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	4	5	5	-	14	
		Verbal Abuse	5	1	3	-	9	
		Excessive Force	5	2	2	-	9	

		Total	99	113	85	-	297
008 - 8TH DISTRICT - CHICAGO LAWN	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	26	21	6	-	53
		Civil Rights Violation	4	0	13	-	17
		Excessive Force	2	0	2	-	4
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	2	1	-	4
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	1	0	-	2
		Verbal Abuse	1	0	0	-	1
		Domestic Incidents	0	1	0	-	1
		Crime Misconduct	0	0	1	-	1
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	1	0	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	36	30	28	-	94
		Civil Rights Violation	9	3	14	-	26
		Excessive Force	2	5	3	-	10
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	4	5	0	-	9
		Verbal Abuse	4	0	0	-	4
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)		2	0	0	-	2	
		Total	92	69	68	-	229
009 - 9TH DISTRICT - DEERING	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	22	10	1	-	33
		Crime Misconduct	0	1	5	-	6
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	3	0	-	4
		Medical Integrity	0	0	2	-	2
		Civil Rights Violation	2	0	0	-	2
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	1	0	-	1
		Excessive Force	0	1	0	-	1
		Alcohol/Drug Abuse	0	0	1	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	13	12	7	-	32
		Verbal Abuse	1	3	1	-	5
		Civil Rights Violation	0	2	1	-	3
Excessive Force		1	0	0	-	1	
		Total	40	33	18	-	91
010 - 10TH DISTRICT - OGDEN	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	4	6	29	-	39
		Excessive Force	0	0	4	-	4

		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	2	2	-	4
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	4	-	4
		Crime Misconduct	2	0	0	-	2
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	1	0	-	1
		Medical Integrity	0	0	1	-	1
		Domestic Incidents	0	1	0	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	27	13	20	-	60
		Civil Rights Violation	19	3	3	-	25
		Excessive Force	4	0	4	-	8
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	5	0	0	-	5
		Verbal Abuse	2	0	1	-	3
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	2	0	0	-	2
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	0	1	-	1
	Supervisory Responsibilities	1	0	0	-	1	
		Total	66	26	69	-	161
011 - 11TH DISTRICT - HARRISON	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	28	29	31	-	88
		Civil Rights Violation	10	9	1	-	20
		Excessive Force	4	4	4	-	12
		Verbal Abuse	2	3	0	-	5
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	3	1	0	-	4
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	3	1	-	4
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	0	4	-	4
		Domestic Incidents	0	2	0	-	2
		Crime Misconduct	0	0	1	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	43	16	31	-	90
		Civil Rights Violation	13	4	7	-	24
		Excessive Force	0	0	4	-	4
		Verbal Abuse	1	0	2	-	3
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	0	2	-	3
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	1	2	-	3

		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	0	1	-	1	
		Total	105	72	91	-	268	
012 - 12TH DISTRICT - NEAR WEST	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	21	10	11	-	42	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	2	0	1	-	3	
		Supervisory Responsibilities	2	0	0	-	2	
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	1	0	-	1	
		Crime Misconduct	0	0	1	-	1	
		Alcohol/Drug Abuse	1	0	0	-	1	
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	26	20	11	-	57	
		Civil Rights Violation	8	9	6	-	23	
		Excessive Force	0	2	1	-	3	
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	3	0	-	3	
		Verbal Abuse	0	1	0	-	1	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0	0	-	1	
			Total	61	46	31	-	138
014 - 14TH DISTRICT - SHAKESPEARE	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	5	20	12	-	37	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	5	2	-	7	
		Civil Rights Violation	0	6	0	-	6	
		Crime Misconduct	1	1	1	-	3	
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	0	1	-	2	
		Alcohol/Drug Abuse	2	0	0	-	2	
		Verbal Abuse	0	1	0	-	1	
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	3	5	8	-	16	
		Excessive Force	0	0	3	-	3	
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	2	0	-	2	
		Verbal Abuse	0	0	1	-	1	
			Total	12	40	28	-	80
	015 - 15TH DISTRICT - AUSTIN	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	7	5	-	13
Civil Rights Violation			0	4	2	-	6	
Conduct Unbecoming Violations			0	1	3	-	4	
Arrest/Lockup Incidents			1	2	0	-	3	
Verbal Abuse			0	0	1	-	1	

		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	0	0	-	1
		Crime Misconduct	0	0	1	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	29	24	21	-	74
		Civil Rights Violation	0	2	20	-	22
		Excessive Force	4	3	4	-	11
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	3	5	-	8
		Verbal Abuse	0	0	2	-	2
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	2	0	0	-	2
		Total	38	46	64	-	148
	016 - 16TH DISTRICT - JEFFERSON PARK	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	9	10	11	-
Verbal Abuse			2	2	3	-	7
Excessive Force			3	3	0	-	6
Conduct Unbecoming Violations			2	3	0	-	5
Civil Rights Violation			0	0	2	-	2
Crime Misconduct			1	0	0	-	1
Bribery/Official Corruption			0	1	0	-	1
Arrest/Lockup Incidents			0	1	0	-	1
District Acct. Sgt		Operation/Personnel Violations	12	31	9	-	52
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	3	8	1	-	12
		Civil Rights Violation	6	6	0	-	12
		Excessive Force	2	3	0	-	5
		Verbal Abuse	2	1	0	-	3
		Total	42	69	26	-	137
017 - 17TH DISTRICT - ALBANY PARK	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	6	1	8	-	15
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	4	-	4
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0	1	-	2
		Supervisory Responsibilities	1	0	0	-	1
		Crime Misconduct	0	0	1	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	28	20	12	-	60
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	14	5	1	-	20
		Civil Rights Violation	3	3	9	-	15
	Verbal Abuse	2	4	1	-	7	

		Excessive Force	0	3	3	-	6	
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	1	0	-	1	
		Total	55	37	40	-	132	
018 - 18TH DISTRICT - NEAR NORTH	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	69	28	37	-	134	
		Civil Rights Violation	14	5	15	-	34	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	13	0	5	-	18	
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	6	3	0	-	9	
		Verbal Abuse	3	1	4	-	8	
		Crime Misconduct	1	0	7	-	8	
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	4	0	0	-	4	
		Excessive Force	2	2	0	-	4	
		Supervisory Responsibilities	0	0	1	-	1	
		Sexual Misconduct	1	0	0	-	1	
	Domestic Incidents	0	0	1	-	1		
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	60	44	15	-	119	
		Civil Rights Violation	14	8	0	-	22	
		Verbal Abuse	14	2	0	-	16	
		Excessive Force	9	0	1	-	10	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	3	5	-	9	
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	4	2	1	-	7	
			Total	215	98	92	-	405
	019 - 19TH DISTRICT - TOWN HALL	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	10	12	10	-	32
			Civil Rights Violation	3	4	2	-	9
Excessive Force			1	7	0	-	8	
Conduct Unbecoming Violations			1	0	3	-	4	
Crime Misconduct			2	0	1	-	3	
Verbal Abuse			1	0	0	-	1	
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)			0	1	0	-	1	
Sexual Misconduct			1	0	0	-	1	
Drugs/Substance Abuse			0	1	0	-	1	
District Acct. Sgt		Operation/Personnel Violations	16	19	19	-	54	

		Civil Rights Violation	10	0	2	-	12
		Excessive Force	6	0	1	-	7
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	3	0	0	-	3
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	0	2	-	2
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	1	1	-	2
		Verbal Abuse	0	1	0	-	1
		Coercion	0	1	0	-	1
		Total	54	47	41	-	142
020 - 20TH DISTRICT - LINCOLN	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	2	8	6	-	16
		Civil Rights Violation	4	1	0	-	5
		Excessive Force	0	0	3	-	3
		Supervisory Responsibilities	0	0	1	-	1
		Sexual Misconduct	1	0	0	-	1
		Domestic Incidents	1	0	0	-	1
		Alcohol/Drug Abuse	0	1	0	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	18	5	10	-	33
		Civil Rights Violation	1	0	1	-	2
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	2	0	-	2
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	0	0	-	1
		Excessive Force	0	0	1	-	1
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0	0	-	1
		Total	29	17	22	-	68
022 - 22ND DISTRICT - MORGAN PARK	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	9	26	18	-	53
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	9	2	-	12
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	8	-	8
		Crime Misconduct	0	0	2	-	2
		Verbal Abuse	1	0	0	-	1
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	0	1	-	1
		Excessive Force	0	0	1	-	1
		Domestic Incidents	1	0	0	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	25	27	15	-	67

		Civil Rights Violation	0	14	5	-	19
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	6	0	2	-	8
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	2	2	3	-	7
		Excessive Force	2	0	0	-	2
		Verbal Abuse	1	0	0	-	1
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	0	1	-	1
		Total	48	78	58	-	184
024 - 24TH DISTRICT - ROGERS PARK	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	14	26	11	-	51
		Civil Rights Violation	1	3	0	-	4
		Verbal Abuse	0	0	2	-	2
		Crime Misconduct	1	0	1	-	2
		Excessive Force	1	0	0	-	1
		Bribery/Official Corruption	0	1	0	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	16	3	25	-	44
		Excessive Force	2	0	2	-	4
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	3	-	3
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	2	0	-	2
		Verbal Abuse	0	0	1	-	1
Total	35	35	45	-	115		
025 - 25TH DISTRICT - GRAND CENTRAL	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	5	14	17	-	36
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	2	2	4	-	8
		Excessive Force	1	2	4	-	7
		Civil Rights Violation	1	2	2	-	5
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	1	2	-	3
		Verbal Abuse	1	1	0	-	2
		Supervisory Responsibilities	1	1	0	-	2
		Medical Integrity	0	0	2	-	2
		Crime Misconduct	0	0	1	-	1
	District Acct. Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	40	32	18	-	90
		Civil Rights Violation	21	4	0	-	25
		Excessive Force	3	2	1	-	6
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	2	3	0	-	5
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	5	0	-	5

		Verbal Abuse	1	0	1	-	2
		Supervisory Responsibilities	0	0	1	-	1
		Total	78	69	53	-	200
044 - RECRUIT TRAINING SECTION (RTS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	2	1	-	3
		Crime Misconduct	0	2	0	-	2
		Sexual Misconduct	0	1	0	-	1
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	5	2	-	7
050 - AIRPORT OPERATIONS (AO) - NORTH	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	2	-	2
		Crime Misconduct	1	0	0	-	1
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	5	4	5	-	14
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	0	1	-	2
		Verbal Abuse	1	0	0	-	1
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	0	1	-	1
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	1	-	1
			Total	9	4	10	-
051 - AIRPORT OPERATIONS (AO) - SOUTH	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	5	2	-	7
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	3	1	0	-	4
		Verbal Abuse	1	0	0	-	1
		Excessive Force	1	0	0	-	1
			Total	5	6	3	-
057 - DETAIL SECTION (DS)	BIA Investigator	Domestic Incidents	0	2	0	-	2
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	2	1	-	3
		Total	0	4	1	-	5
059 - MARINE OPERATIONS UNIT (MOU)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	0	4	-	5
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	2	-	2
		Verbal Abuse	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
			Total	1	0	7	-

079 - SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS UNIT (SIU)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	0	2	-	3
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	2	0	0	-	2
		Total	3	0	2	-	5
102 - COMMUNICATIONS DIVISION (CD)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	2	-	2
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	0	3	-	3
111 - OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT (OTS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	3	3	-	7
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	0	1	-	1
		Civil Rights Violation	0	1	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	1	4	4	-	9
114 - LEGAL AFFAIRS DIVISION (LAD)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	1	1	-	2
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	1	1	-	2
120 - OFFICE OF CONSTITUTIONAL POLICING AND REFORM (OCPR)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	0	1	-	1
121 - BUREAU OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS (BIA)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	2	9	8	-	19
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	2	0	0	-	2
		Verbal Abuse	0	1	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	4	10	8	-	22
122 - FINANCE DIVISION (FD)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	2	-	2
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	0	2	-	2
123 - HUMAN RESOURCES DIVISION (HRD)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	0	1	-	1
124 - TRAINING AND SUPPORT GROUP (TSG)	BIA Investigator	Crime Misconduct	1	0	5	-	6
		Operation/Personnel Violations	2	0	3	-	5
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0	1	-	2
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	2	-	2
		Medical Integrity	0	0	1	-	1

		Excessive Force	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	7	0	0	-	7
		Civil Rights Violation	5	0	0	-	5
		Total	17	0	12	-	29
125 - FIELD TECHNOLOGY AND INNOVATION SECTION (FTIS)	BIA Investigator	Domestic Incidents	0	1	0	-	1
		Crime Misconduct	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	1	1	0	-	2
127 - RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT DIVISION (R&D)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	2	0	0	-	2
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	1	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	2	1	0	-	3
131 - 4TH AMENDMENT STREET STOP REVIEW UNIT (4ASRU)	BIA Investigator	Conduct Unbecoming Violations	2	0	3	-	5
		Operation/Personnel Violations	0	2	1	-	3
		Civil Rights Violation	0	2	0	-	2
		Excessive Force	0	1	0	-	1
		Drugs/Substance Abuse	0	1	0	-	1
		Domestic Incidents	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
	Total	3	6	4	-	13	
132 - SPECIAL OPERATIONS GROUP (SOG)	BIA Investigator	Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	0	1	-	1
135 - OFFICE OF COMMUNITY POLICING (OCP)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	4	1	8	-	13
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	0	1	-	1
		Civil Rights Violation	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Verbal Abuse	0	0	1	-	1
		Total	5	1	10	-	16
136 - SPECIAL EVENTS SECTION (SES)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	2	-	2
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	0	2	-	2
140 - OFFICE OF THE FIRST DEPUTY	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	2	-	2
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0

SUPERINTENDENT (OFDS)		Total	0	0	2	-	2
143 - CRISIS INTERVENTION TEAMS (CIT)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	2	0	-	3
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	1	2	0	-	3
145 - TRAFFIC SECTION (TS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	4	0	-	4
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	0	1	-	1
		Medical Integrity	0	1	0	-	1
		Domestic Incidents	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	2	0	3	-	5
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	1	-	1
	Total	2	5	6	-	13	
150 - BUREAU OF COUNTER TERRORISM (BCT)	BIA Investigator	Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	2	0	-	2
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	2	0	-	2
153 - SPECIALTY VEHICLES (SV)	BIA Investigator	None	0	0	0	-	0
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	2	-	2
		Total	0	0	2	-	2
163 - RECORDS INQUIRY SECTION (RIS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	5	1	-	7
		Civil Rights Violation	0	3	0	-	3
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	1	0	-	1
		Bribery/Official Corruption	0	1	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	1	10	1	-	12
166 - FIELD SERVICES SECTION (FSS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	2	-	2
		Crime Misconduct	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	1	0	2	-	3
167 - EVIDENCE AND RECOVERED PROPERTY SECTION (ERPS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	0	0	-	1
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	1	0	1	-	2
168 - AUTO	BIA Investigator	Alcohol/Drug Abuse	1	1	0	-	2

POUNDS SECTION (APS)		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	0	0	-	1
		Drugs/Substance Abuse	0	1	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	2	2	0	-	4
177 - FORENSIC SERVICES DIVISION (FSD)	BIA Investigator	Crime Misconduct	2	0	0	-	2
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	2	0	0	-	2
180 - BUREAU OF DETECTIVES (BOD)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	1	3	-	4
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	0	2	-	2
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	1	6	-	7
181 - INVESTIGATIVE RESPONSE TEAM (IRT)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	0	1	-	2
		Civil Rights Violation	1	0	1	-	2
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	3	0	2	-	5
189 - NARCOTICS DIVISION (ND)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	5	3	-	9
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	2	0	2	-	4
		Search Warrants	1	0	0	-	1
		Domestic Incidents	0	0	1	-	1
		Crime Misconduct	1	0	0	-	1
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	8	1	-	9
		Civil Rights Violation	0	8	0	-	8
		Verbal Abuse	0	1	0	-	1
		Total	5	22	8	-	35
192 - VICE SECTION (VS)	BIA Investigator	Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	2	0	-	2
		Operation/Personnel Violations	0	1	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	3	0	-	3
193 - GANG	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	2	2	-	5

INVESTIGATION DIVISION (GID)		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	1	2	-	3	
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	3	-	3	
		Verbal Abuse	0	0	1	-	1	
		Total	1	3	8	-	12	
196 - ASSET FORFEITURE SECTION (AFS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	1	-	1	
		Excessive Force	0	0	1	-	1	
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	1	-	1	
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0	
		Total	0	0	3	-	3	
211 - DEPUTY CHIEF - AREA 1	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	5	20	3	-	28	
		Civil Rights Violation	0	6	3	-	9	
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	3	0	-	3	
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	1	0	-	1	
	Accountability Sgt	Civil Rights Violation	3	2	16	-	21	
		Operation/Personnel Violations	4	3	12	-	19	
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	0	4	-	5	
		Crime Misconduct	0	0	2	-	2	
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	0	2	-	2	
		Excessive Force	0	0	1	-	1	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0	0	-	1	
			Total	14	35	43	-	92
	212 - DEPUTY CHIEF - AREA 2	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	3	6	-	10
			Civil Rights Violation	0	2	2	-	4
Verbal Abuse			0	1	1	-	2	
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)			0	0	2	-	2	
Supervisory Responsibilities			0	0	1	-	1	
Accountability Sgt		Civil Rights Violation	0	10	3	-	13	
		Operation/Personnel Violations	0	4	8	-	12	
		Excessive Force	0	2	2	-	4	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	3	0	-	3	
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	0	2	-	2	

		Total	1	25	27	-	53
213 - DEPUTY CHIEF - AREA 3	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	2	-	2
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	0	1	-	1
		Civil Rights Violation	0	1	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	2	0	6	-	8
		Excessive Force	0	2	3	-	5
		Civil Rights Violation	0	3	2	-	5
		Verbal Abuse	0	1	2	-	3
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	0	2	-	2
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	1	0	-	1
		Total	2	8	18	-	28
214 - DEPUTY CHIEF - AREA 4	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	0	5	-	6
		Crime Misconduct	0	2	0	-	2
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	2	0	0	-	2
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	2	-	2
		Verbal Abuse	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	10	17	8	-	35
		Civil Rights Violation	2	4	9	-	15
		Excessive Force	0	3	2	-	5
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	0	1	4	-	5
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	4	0	0	-	4
Verbal Abuse		0	1	0	-	1	
	Total	19	28	31	-	78	
215 - DEPUTY CHIEF - AREA 5	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	2	-	2
		Crime Misconduct	0	1	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	2	0	0	-	2
		Excessive Force	1	0	0	-	1
		Total	3	1	2	-	6
221 - RANDOM DRUG SECTION (RDS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	1	0	0	-	1
222 - TIMEKEEPING UNIT (TU) -	BIA Investigator	Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	0	1	-	1

HEADQUARTERS	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	0	1	-	1
231 - MEDICAL SECTION (MS)	BIA Investigator	None	0	0	0	-	0
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	1	0	-	1
		Total	0	1	0	-	1
240 - RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION SECTION (RRS)	BIA Investigator	Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	0	0	-	1
		Operation/Personnel Violations	0	1	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	1	1	0	-	2
241 - TROUBLED BUILDING UNIT (TBU)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	0	0	-	1
		Crime Misconduct	1	0	0	-	1
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	3	0	0	-	3
277 - CRIME SCENE PROCESSING UNIT (CSU)	BIA Investigator	Civil Rights Violation	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	1	0	0	-	1
341 - CANINE UNIT (CU)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	1	0	-	1
		Total	1	1	0	-	2
353 - SPECIAL WEAPONS AND TACTICS (SWAT) UNIT	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	1	0	-	2
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	1	1	0	-	2
376 - ALTERNATE RESPONSE SECTION (ARS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	10	1	7	-	18
		Crime Misconduct	1	2	4	-	7
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	1	2	-	4
		Verbal Abuse	3	0	0	-	3
		Civil Rights Violation	1	0	2	-	3
		Domestic Incidents	1	1	0	-	2
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	9	17	7	-	33
	Total	26	22	22	-	70	
441 - SPECIAL ACTIVITIES SECTION (SAS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	0	1	-	1

442 - BOMB SQUAD (BS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	1	0	-	2
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	1	1	0	-	2
542 - DETACHED SERVICES (DS) - GOVERNMENT SECURITY	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	0	1	-	1
543 - DETACHED SERVICES (DS) - MISCELLANEOUS DETAIL	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	0	1	-	1
544 - DETACHED SERVICES (DS) - UNIFORMED SUPPORT DIVISION	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	1	0	-	1
		Domestic Incidents	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	2	0	-	2
		Total	1	3	0	-	4
602 - CENTRAL INVESTIGATIONS DIVISION (CID)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	0	1	-	1
603 - ARSON SECTION (AS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	2	1	0	-	3
		Civil Rights Violation	0	3	0	-	3
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	1	0	-	1
		Bribery/Official Corruption	0	1	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	2	6	0	-	8
604 - FINANCIAL CRIMES SECTION (FCS)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	2	2	4	-	8
		Crime Misconduct	0	0	1	-	1
		Coercion	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	2	2	6	-	10
606 - INVESTIGATIVE FIELD GROUP (IFG)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	10	6	9	-	25
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	8	0	1	-	9
		Civil Rights Violation	0	7	2	-	9
		Excessive Force	0	3	2	-	5
		Supervisory Responsibilities	4	0	0	-	4
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	0	1	0	-	1

		Crime Misconduct	1	0	0	-	1	
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0	
		Total	23	17	14	-	54	
608 - MAJOR ACCIDENT INVESTIGATION SECTION (MAIS)	BIA Investigator	Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0	0	-	1	
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	2	0	0	-	2	
		Total	3	0	0	-	3	
610 - DETECTIVES - AREA 1	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	6	3	13	-	22	
		Crime Misconduct	3	1	0	-	4	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	2	0	-	3	
		Civil Rights Violation	0	2	0	-	2	
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	0	0	-	1	
		Supervisory Responsibilities	1	0	0	-	1	
		Domestic Incidents	1	0	0	-	1	
		Bribery/Official Corruption	0	1	0	-	1	
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	4	7	3	-	14	
		Civil Rights Violation	3	0	2	-	5	
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0	0	-	1	
			Total	21	16	18	-	55
	620 - DETECTIVES - AREA 2	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	6	12	8	-	26
Conduct Unbecoming Violations			0	2	2	-	4	
Crime Misconduct			2	0	1	-	3	
Civil Rights Violation			0	1	1	-	2	
Accountability Sgt		Operation/Personnel Violations	2	2	4	-	8	
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	4	-	4	
			Total	10	17	20	-	47
630 - DETECTIVES - AREA 3	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	7	11	8	-	26	
		Civil Rights Violation	1	5	0	-	6	
		Sexual Misconduct	0	5	0	-	5	
		Excessive Force	0	5	0	-	5	
		Verbal Abuse	0	2	0	-	2	
		Crime Misconduct	1	1	0	-	2	
		Bribery/Official Corruption	0	0	1	-	1	
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	1	5	0	-	6	

		Total	10	34	9	-	53
640 - DETECTIVES - AREA 4	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	7	6	5	-	18
		Civil Rights Violation	0	0	2	-	2
		Supervisory Responsibilities	0	1	0	-	1
		Domestic Incidents	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	2	2	2	-	6
		Total	10	9	9	-	28
650 - DETECTIVES - AREA 5	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	6	2	2	-	10
		Bribery/Official Corruption	2	0	0	-	2
		Verbal Abuse	0	1	0	-	1
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	1	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	4	3	-	7
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	2	0	-	2
		Total	8	10	5	-	23
701 - PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION (PT)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	8	4	3	-	15
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	0	4	-	4
		Crime Misconduct	1	2	0	-	3
		Verbal Abuse	1	0	1	-	2
		Civil Rights Violation	1	1	0	-	2
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	3	6	4	-	13
		Verbal Abuse	1	1	2	-	4
		Excessive Force	3	0	1	-	4
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	3	0	-	4
		Sexual Misconduct	0	1	0	-	1
		Total	19	18	15	-	52
704 - TRANSIT SECURITY UNIT (TSU)	BIA Investigator	Conduct Unbecoming Violations	2	0	4	-	6
		Operation/Personnel Violations	2	1	0	-	3
		Civil Rights Violation	2	0	0	-	2
		Verbal Abuse	0	0	1	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	0	2	-	2
		Total	6	1	7	-	14
712 - VIOLENCE	BIA Investigator	Crime Misconduct	1	0	0	-	1

REDUCTION INITIATIVE (VRI) - SOUTH		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	2	0	0	-	2
715 - CRITICAL INCIDENT RESPONSE TEAM (CIRT)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	6	2	2	-	10
		Civil Rights Violation	5	0	2	-	7
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	4	1	-	5
		Crime Misconduct	0	1	3	-	4
	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	3	3	-	6
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	0	1	0	-	1
	Total	11	11	11	-	33	
716 - COMMUNITY SAFETY TEAM (CST)	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	2	0	-	2
		Civil Rights Violation	1	0	0	-	1
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	1	2	0	-	3
721 - TACTICAL REVIEW AND EVALUATION DIVISION	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	0	2	0	-	2
	Accountability Sgt	None	0	0	0	-	0
		Total	0	2	0	-	2
UNKNOWN UNIT/UNKNOWN MEMBER²	BIA Investigator	Operation/Personnel Violations	423	439	358	-	1220
		Crime Misconduct	63	33	31	-	127
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	30	35	40	-	105
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	11	15	15	-	41
		Civil Rights Violation	9	11	16	-	36
		Excessive Force	7	3	7	-	17
		Verbal Abuse	5	4	7	-	16
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	3	2	4	-	9
		Supervisory Responsibilities	1	2	1	-	4
		Bribery/Official Corruption	1	0	1	-	2
		Fatal Motor Vehicle Incident	1	0	0	-	1
		Weapon Discharge	0	1	0	-	1
		Sexual Misconduct	0	0	1	-	1
		Search Warrants	0	0	1	-	1
Alcohol/Drug Abuse	1	0	0	-	1		

	Accountability Sgt	Operation/Personnel Violations	100	141	170	-	411
		Conduct Unbecoming Violations	4	10	13	-	27
		Civil Rights Violation	9	5	6	-	20
		Arrest/Lockup Incidents	1	5	5	-	11
		Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	2	2	5	-	9
		Verbal Abuse	3	1	5	-	9
		Excessive Force	1	4	3	-	8
		Supervisory Responsibilities	0	1	1	-	2
		Crime Misconduct	0	1	1	-	2
		Coercion	1	0	0	-	1
		Total	676	715	691	-	2082

¹ Allegations, associated with identified and unknown accused members, for Complaints assigned to the CPD. The increase in the number of allegations from 2023 is due to the inclusion of all Reporting Party Types (Subject, Third Party, Witness) in the retrieved data.

² Officer not identified, therefore Unit of Assignment/Detail not yet known.

³ Unit of Assignment/Detail reflects the unit the accused member was assigned/detailed to on the date the member was entered as accused in the case management system.

⁴ All figures related to pending complaints are preliminary and subject to further analysis and revision.

⁵ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024

The following table and chart contain the breakdown of primary allegation classifications for complaints initiated by members of the public (civilians) during Quarter 3 of 2024. Allegations of Operation/Personnel Violations were the most reported allegation category (**67.03%**). Civil Rights Violation (**11.75%**), and Conduct Unbecoming Violations (**6.78%**) were the second and third most reported. The data from the third quarter of 2024 revealed **1,061** unique civilian complaints, containing a total of **2,272** allegations. The Operation/Personnel Violation subcategories will be identified in table 17.

Table 16. Civilian-Initiated Complaints by Classification of Allegations^{1, 2, 3}
(Consent Decree 550-b)

Allegation - Category	Total	%
Operation/Personnel Violations	1,523	67.03%
Civil Rights Violation	267	11.75%
Conduct Unbecoming Violations	154	6.78%
Excessive Force	79	3.48%
Crime Misconduct	79	3.48%
Verbal Abuse	56	2.46%
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	47	2.07%
Arrest/Lockup Incidents	39	1.72%
Supervisory Responsibilities	12	0.53%
Medical Integrity	6	0.26%
Domestic Incidents	3	0.13%
Bribery/Official Corruption	2	0.09%
Alcohol/Drug Abuse	2	0.09%
Sexual Misconduct	1	0.04%
Search Warrants	1	0.04%
Coercion	1	0.04%
Total	2272	100%

¹ Allegations, associated with identified and unknown accused members, for Complaints assigned to the CPD. The increase in the number of allegations from 2023 is due to the inclusion of all Reporting Party Types (Subject, Third Party, Witness) in the retrieved data. A total of 916 unique complaints account for these allegations.

² All figures related to pending complaints are preliminary and subject to further analysis and revisions.

³ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024

As mentioned above, a large majority of civilian-initiated allegations in Quarter 3 of 2024 were categorized as Operation/Personnel Violations. Below, that category is broken down into more specific subcategories as classified at Intake. Inadequate/Failure to Provide Service (29.61%), Neglect of Duty (26.99%), and Conduct Unbecoming (21.01%) were the most-cited *identified* subcategories for this allegation category. *Please note, Conduct Unbecoming is a Primary Category as well as a subcategory of Operation/Personnel Violations in the CMS system.*

Table 17. Civilian-Initiated Complaints Filtered by Classification of Allegations of Operation/Personnel Violation Subcategories^{1, 2, 3}

(Consent Decree 550-b)

Operation / Personnel Violations Subcategory	Total	%
Inadequate/Failure to Provide Service	451	29.61%
Neglect of Duty	411	26.99%
Conduct Unbecoming	320	21.01%
Reports	177	11.62%
Misuse of Department Equipment/Supplies	58	3.81%
Failure to Identify	37	2.43%
Slow/ No Response	29	1.90%
Inventory Procedures - Non-Arrestee	12	0.79%
Leaving Assignment (District, Beat, Sector, Court)	8	0.53%
Misuse of Department Records	7	0.46%
EEO Investigations	4	0.26%
Compensatory Time	3	0.20%
Traffic Pursuit	2	0.13%
Secondary / Special Employment	1	0.07%
Political Activity	1	0.07%
Lunch/Personal Violations	1	0.07%
Employment Action - Shakman Decree	1	0.07%
Total	1523	100%

¹ Operation/Personnel Violation allegations, associated with identified and unknown accused members, for Complaints assigned to the CPD. The increase in the number of allegations from 2023 is due to the inclusion of all Reporting Party Types (Subject, Third Party, Witness) in the retrieved data.

² All figures related to pending complaints are preliminary and subject to further analysis and revision.

³ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

Investigations Closed Based on the Absence of a Reporting Party Sworn Affidavit

(BIA Requests to Obtain Sworn Affidavit Override)

The following table demonstrates the number of investigations that were closed due to the absence of a Reporting Party Sworn Affidavit (only applicable to PBPA members), and the number of requests for Sworn Affidavit Overrides that BIA submitted to COPA during the reporting period. Misconduct investigations of PBPA members that do not have a signed sworn affidavit and produce no objective and verifiable evidence of misconduct, will be closed out as “No Affidavit”. When a BIA Investigator or Accountability Sergeant discovers objective and verifiable evidence of misconduct during a preliminary investigation, he/she will submit a request for a Sworn Affidavit Override to the Chief of BIA, who will then forward the request to COPA. Current available data reflects that **35 investigations** were closed due to the lack of a signed reporting party sworn affidavit in Quarter 3 of 2024 (relative to Q3 of 2023, which had 56 logs closed as No Affidavit cases), and BIA submitted 1 request for Sworn Affidavit Overrides to COPA in Quarter 3 of 2024.

Table 18. No Affidavit Closures and Affidavit Override Requests

(Consent Decree 550-c-iv)

	Q1 2024	Q2 2024	Q3 2024	Q4 2024	Total 2024
Closed—No Affidavit ¹	37	36	35	-	108
Requests to Obtain Sworn Affidavit Override	2	0	1	-	3

¹ This includes only Log Numbers closed as no affidavit and acquired by taking a distinct count of the Log Number field. The total number of ALLEGATIONS closed as no affidavit associated with identified accused members will be covered later in the report.

Average Time from Receipt of Complaint by BIA to the Next or Initial Contact with the Reporting Party

(Consent Decree 550-c-i)

Following the preliminary review of a Log Number referred by COPA, BIA's Intake Section generates one of three reporting party contact letters: an intake investigation assigned letter, an intake administrative closure letter, or an intake preliminary review letter. The letters contain further information that will be sent via USPS mail or e-mailed to a reporting party depending on the type of contact information provided. In Quarter 3 of 2024, BIA's Intake Section generated over **1263** of these letters within the CMS system. The average length of time between the case being assigned to CPD and the generation of the intake letter was **11.50 days**.

Average Processing Time for Complete Investigations

(from COPA intake through Final Disposition) **(Consent Decree 550-c-ii, iii)**

As discussed earlier in this report, an investigator's submission of a completed investigation is referred to as the Investigative Findings and Recommendations. For purposes of this report, BIA has identified the date of Investigative Findings and Recommendations as the date in which the investigation has been closed, (all investigative review has been completed by the case manager, the Lieutenant, the Commander, and the Deputy Chief), and the case is moved to the Advocate Section. This date is equivalent for both COPA and CPD cases. The Final Disciplinary Decision is the final decision of the Superintendent or his or her designee regarding whether to issue or recommend discipline after review and consideration of the investigative findings and recommendations, including after any additional investigation conducted as a result of such review. The Final Disposition refers to the status of a misconduct investigation after the final disciplinary decision, and any grievance process, arbitration, Police Board proceeding, or appeal relating to the final disciplinary decision.


The following flowchart includes three (3) reports¹ that represent the total number of cases that reached each specified stage of the disciplinary process within the third quarter of 2024, as well as the average number of days to reach each of the stages detailed in this report. These reports only encompass cases with a Sustained finding, and includes only CPD investigations.

Report #1 – RECOMMENDED FINDINGS AND PENALTIES (147 cases in Q3 2024)



Overall average time from COPA Intake to Investigative Findings and Recommendations: 489.41 days

Report #2 – FINAL DISCIPLINARY DECISION (102 cases in Q3 2024)



Overall average time from COPA Intake to Final Disciplinary Decision: 474.90 days

Report #3 – FINAL DISCIPLINARY DISPOSITION (59 cases in Q3 2024)



Overall average time from COPA Intake to Final Disposition: 725.61 days

** There are discrepancies in the averages of durations between stages of case processing due to missing dates for certain stages of the process.

Outcomes of Administrative Investigations

Completed misconduct investigations will produce findings of “Sustained,” “Not Sustained,” “Unfounded,” or “Exonerated” for each allegation specified therein. The following table demonstrates the investigative findings for allegations contained within misconduct investigations closed in the third quarter of 2024.

Table 19. Allegations with Findings for Completed Investigations^{1, 2}
(Consent Decree 550-d)

Finding	Q3	%
Unfounded	264	38.54%
Not Sustained	199	29.05%
Sustained	114	16.64%
Exonerated	108	15.77%
Total	685	100%

¹ Allegation findings associated with identified and unknown accused members, for Complaints assigned to the CPD that were closed as "Case Final" Record Status in Quarter 3 of 2024 (01 July 2024 – 30 September 2024).

² Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

Recommended Disciplinary and Non-Disciplinary Penalties for Sustained Allegations

(Consent Decree 550-d, e)

The following table captures the aggregate data on disciplinary penalties recommended for Accused Members with sustained allegations following misconduct investigations closed in Quarter 3. For this reporting period, **82.35%** of sustained allegations resulted in a disciplinary penalty (suspension, separation, or written reprimand), and **17.65%** of sustained allegations resulted in a non-disciplinary penalty (the Member will have a sustained allegation with a penalty of “Violation-Noted” in their disciplinary history). Please note that the number of penalties will not match the number of sustained allegations *as there may be multiple sustained allegations in one misconduct investigation, yielding only one penalty.*

Table 20. Disciplinary Penalties for Sustained Allegations of Accused Department Members^{1, 2}

Penalty	Total	%
Suspension	19	27.94%
Reprimand	25	36.76%
Non-Disciplinary	12	17.65%
Penalty Not Served ³	7	10.29%
Separation	4	5.88%
Penalty not served (deceased)	1	1.47%
TOTAL	68	100%

¹ Penalties associated with "Sustained" allegation findings for Complaints assigned to the CPD that were closed as "Case Final" in Quarter 3 of 2024 (01 July 2024 – 30 September 2024).

² Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

³ 7 out of 8 Department members in the penalty category "Penalty Not Served" are in Inactive Status. The remaining 1 Department member was not identified (Unknown).

Grievance Proceedings, Arbitration, Settlements, and Police Board Hearings **(Consent Decree 550-f)**

This section contains the number of sustained cases that were subject to grievance proceedings by the accused member, the number of cases that proceeded before the Police Board, the number of cases that proceeded to arbitration, and the number of cases that were settled prior to a full evidentiary hearing (arbitration or Police Board) during the reporting period.

Table 21. Grievance Proceedings

Grievance Proceedings Q3-2024 01 July 2024 – 30 September 2024	Total
Number of Cases Grieved ¹	103
Number of Cases That Proceeded Before The Police Board (by PB Decision Date) ²	3
Number of Cases Proceeded To Arbitration ¹	33
Number of Cases Settled Prior To Evidentiary Hearing ^{1,2}	13

¹ Source: CPD Labor Relations Division

² Source: "Data" from https://www.chicago.gov/city/en/depts/cpb/provdrs/police_discipline.html

Table 22. Police Board Decisions

Police Board Decisions (Q3-2024) ^{1,2}	Total
Guilty, Discharged from CPD	1
Guilty, Discharged from CPD (Appeal pending)	1
Not Guilty	1

¹ Source: "Data" from https://www.chicago.gov/city/en/depts/cpb/provdrs/police_discipline.html

² Of the 3 cases which were subject to a Police Board Decision - 2 were investigated by COPA, 1 was investigated by BIA.

Outcomes of Misconduct Investigations by Classifications of Allegations for Accused Department Member (includes No Affidavit allegations, and filtered by Race, Gender, and Age)
(Consent Decree 550-g)

Investigations closed in CMS during Quarter 3 of 2024 with identified accused members, contain **656 allegations** with findings of Sustained, Not Sustained, Unfounded, Exonerated, Expunged, or closed as No Affidavit.

Investigations closed in Quarter 3 of 2024 yielded Sustained findings for **111 (16.92%)** allegations. There were Not Sustained findings for **168 (25.61%)** allegations. A finding of Unfounded was determined for **257 (39.18%)** allegations and 107 (16.31%) allegations for Exonerated findings. An alternative finding of No Affidavit was determined for **13 (1.98%)** allegations.

The following pages break down the total number of allegations by outcome (finding), then by classification of the allegation, and further by the race, gender, and age of the accused member. Please note that data presented elsewhere in this report will differ from the following tables, which only include allegations associated with an identified accused member. A complaint may also have multiple reporting parties.

Data Notes for Following Tables (pp 68-72)

¹ Allegation findings, associated with identified accused members (only), for Complaints assigned to the CPD and where the Status Reason excludes "No Affidavit" status and that were closed as "Case Final" in Quarter 3 of 2024 (01 July 2024 – 30 September 2024).

² Allegation findings, associated with identified accused members (only), for Complaints assigned to the CPD and where the Status Reason includes only "No Affidavit" value, and with a Record Status of "Case Final", in Quarter 3 of 2024 (01 July 2024 – 30 September 2024).

³ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

Sustained Allegations (Accused Members)

Total Amount of Sustained Allegations¹ = 111

Classification of Allegation	Total	%
Operation/Personnel Violations	88	79.28%
Crime Misconduct	5	4.50%
Conduct Unbecoming Violations	5	4.50%
Supervisory Responsibilities	4	3.60%
Alcohol/Drug Abuse	4	3.60%
Weapon Discharge	1	0.90%
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	1	0.90%
Medical Integrity	1	0.90%
Drugs/Substance Abuse	1	0.90%
Bribery/Official Corruption	1	0.90%

Race	Total	%
White/Caucasian	48	43.24%
Hispanic/Latino	31	27.93%
African American/Black	23	20.72%
Asian	7	6.31%
Unknown	2	1.80%

Gender	Total	%
Male	81	72.97%
Female	30	27.03%

Age	Total	%
40 - 49	43	38.74%
30 - 39	27	24.32%
50 - 59	24	21.62%
20 - 29	17	15.32%

Not Sustained Allegations (Accused Members)

Total Amount of Not Sustained Allegations¹ = 168

Classification of Allegation	Total	%
Operation/Personnel Violations	107	63.69%
Conduct Unbecoming Violations	19	11.31%
Domestic Incidents	12	7.14%
Arrest/Lockup Incidents	9	5.36%
Verbal Abuse	8	4.76%
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	7	4.17%
Drugs/Substance Abuse	2	1.19%
Bribery/Official Corruption	2	1.19%
Crime Misconduct	1	0.60%
Civil Rights Violation	1	0.60%

Race	Total	%
White/Caucasian	60	35.71%
African American/Black	58	34.52%
Hispanic/Latino	42	25.00%
Asian	7	4.17%
Unknown	1	0.60%

Gender	Total	%
Male	130	77.38%
Female	38	22.62%

Age	Total	%
50 - 59	56	33.33%
30 - 39	47	27.98%
40 - 49	42	25.00%
20 - 29	20	11.90%
60 and above	3	1.79%

Unfounded Allegations (Accused Members)

Total Amount of Unfounded Allegations¹ = 257

Classification of Allegation	Total	%
Operation/Personnel Violations	196	76.26%
Conduct Unbecoming Violations	25	9.73%
Verbal Abuse	8	3.11%
Arrest/Lockup Incidents	7	2.72%
Crime Misconduct	6	2.33%
Civil Rights Violation	6	2.33%
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	3	1.17%
Medical Integrity	3	1.17%
Weapon Discharge	1	0.39%
Excessive Force	1	0.39%
Alcohol/Drug Abuse	1	0.39%

Race	Total	%
Hispanic/Latino	101	39.30%
White/Caucasian	91	35.41%
African American/Black	47	18.29%
Asian	9	3.50%
American Indian/Alaskan Native	6	2.33%
Unknown	3	1.17%

Gender	Total	%
Male	202	78.60%
Female	55	21.40%

Age	Total	%
30 - 39	93	36.19%
20 - 29	67	26.07%
40 - 49	57	22.18%
50 - 59	38	14.79%
60 and above	2	0.78%

Exonerated Allegations (Accused Members)

Total Amount of Exonerated Allegations¹ = 107

Classification of Allegation	Total	%
Operation/Personnel Violations	96	89.72%
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	5	4.67%
Supervisory Responsibilities	3	2.80%
Weapon Discharge	1	0.93%
Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	0.93%
Civil Rights Violation	1	0.93%

Race	Total	%
White/Caucasian	49	45.79%
Hispanic/Latino	39	36.45%
African American/Black	12	11.21%
Asian	7	6.54%

Gender	Total	%
Male	91	85.05%
Female	16	14.95%

Age	Total	%
30 - 39	40	37.38%
40 - 49	27	25.23%
20 - 29	24	22.43%
50 - 59	13	12.15%
60 and above	3	2.80%

No Affidavit [Alternative Classification] (Accused Members)

Total Amount of Allegations Closed as No Affidavit² = 13

Classification of Allegation	Total	%
Operation/Personnel Violations	8	61.54%
Supervisory Responsibilities	1	7.69%
Domestic Incidents	1	7.69%
Crime Misconduct	1	7.69%
Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	7.69%
Civil Rights Violation	1	7.69%

Race	Total	%
White/Caucasian	8	61.54%
Hispanic/Latino	4	30.77%
African American/Black	1	7.69%

Gender	Total	%
Male	11	84.62%
Female	2	15.38%

Age	Total	%
40 - 49	7	53.85%
50 - 59	5	38.46%
30 - 39	1	7.69%

Outcomes of Misconduct Investigations by Classifications of Allegations by Reporting Party Subject (includes No Affidavit allegations, and filtered by Race, Gender, and Age) (Consent Decree 550-g)

Investigations closed in CMS during Quarter 3 of 2024 with **non-CPD reporting party subjects**, contained a total of **484** allegations with findings of Sustained, Not Sustained, Unfounded, Exonerated, or closed as No Affidavit.

Investigations closed in Quarter 3 of 2024 yielded Sustained findings for **74 (15.29%)** allegations. There were Not Sustained findings for **111 (22.93%)** allegations. A finding of Unfounded was determined for **194 (40.08%)** allegations, and Exonerated finding for **93 (19.21%)** allegations. An alternative finding of No Affidavit was determined for **12** allegations (**2.48%**).

The following pages break down the total number of allegations by outcome (finding), then by classification of allegation, and further by the race, gender, and age of the Reporting Party Subject. A complaint may also have multiple reporting parties.

Data Notes for Following Tables (pp 74 - 78)

¹ Allegation findings, associated with identified accused members (only), for Complaints assigned to the CPD and where the Status Reason excludes "No Affidavit" status and that were closed as "Case Final" in Quarter 3 (01 July 2024 – 30 September 2024).

² Allegation findings, associated with identified accused members (only), for Complaints assigned to the CPD and where the Status Reason includes only "No Affidavit" value, and with a Record Status of "Case Final" in Quarter 3 (01 July 2024 – 30 September 2024).

³ Data retrieved on 1 November 2024.

Sustained Allegations (Reporting Party Subject)

Total Amount of Sustained Allegations¹ = 74

Classification of Allegation	Total	%
Operation/Personnel Violations	66	89.19%
Supervisory Responsibilities	4	5.41%
Crime Misconduct	3	4.05%
Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	1.35%

Race	Total	%
Unknown	21	28.38%
Hispanic/Latino	20	27.03%
African-American/Black	17	22.97%
White/Caucasian	16	21.62%

Gender	Total	%
Female	34	45.95%
Male	26	35.14%
Unknown	14	18.92%

Age	Total	%
20-29	32	43.24%
Unknown/Null	21	28.38%
50-59	13	17.57%
30-39	5	6.76%
60 and above	3	4.05%

Not Sustained Allegations (Reporting Party Subject)

Total Amount of Not Sustained Allegations¹ = 111

Classification of Allegation	Total	%
Operation/Personnel Violations	73	65.77%
Conduct Unbecoming Violations	12	10.81%
Arrest/Lockup Incidents	9	8.11%
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	7	6.31%
Verbal Abuse	6	5.41%
Drugs/Substance Abuse	1	0.90%
Domestic Incidents	1	0.90%
Crime Misconduct	1	0.90%
Civil Rights Violation	1	0.90%

Race	Total	%
Unknown	42	37.84%
African-American/Black	39	35.14%
White/Caucasian	13	11.71%
Hispanic/Latino	13	11.71%
Other Race	4	3.60%
Asian	1	0.90%

Gender	Total	%
Male	51	45.95%
Female	34	30.63%
Unknown	27	24.32%

Age	Total	%
Unknown/Null	41	36.94%
30-39	26	23.42%
40-49	16	14.41%
20-29	14	12.61%
50-59	8	7.21%
Under 20	4	3.60%
60 and above	3	2.70%

Unfounded Allegations (Reporting Party Subject)

Total Amount of Unfounded Allegations¹ = 194

Classification of Allegation	Total	%
Operation/Personnel Violations	155	79.90%
Conduct Unbecoming Violations	17	8.76%
Arrest/Lockup Incidents	7	3.61%
Civil Rights Violation	6	3.09%
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	3	1.55%
Verbal Abuse	2	1.03%
Crime Misconduct	2	1.03%
Medical Integrity	1	0.52%
Excessive Force	1	0.52%

Race	Total	%
African-American/Black	95	48.97%
Unknown	66	34.02%
Hispanic/Latino	22	11.34%
White/Caucasian	13	6.70%
Asian	3	1.55%

Gender	Total	%
Male	91	46.91%
Female	90	46.39%
Unknown	22	11.34%

Age	Total	%
Unknown/Null	77	39.69%
40-49	38	19.59%
20-29	31	15.98%
30-39	23	11.86%
50-59	16	8.25%
60 and above	11	5.67%
Under 20	3	1.55%

Exonerated Allegations (Reporting Party Subject)

Total Amount of Exonerated Allegations¹ = 93

Classification of Allegation	Total	%
Operation/Personnel Violations	83	89.25%
Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)	5	5.38%
Supervisory Responsibilities	3	3.23%
Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	1.08%
Civil Rights Violation	1	1.08%

Race	Total	%
African-American/Black	54	58.06%
Hispanic/Latino	26	27.96%
Unknown	15	16.13%
Other Race	2	2.15%
White/Caucasian	1	1.08%

Gender	Total	%
Male	60	64.52%
Female	33	35.48%
Unknown	10	10.75%

Age	Total	%
Unknown/Null	30	32.26%
30-39	29	31.18%
40-49	16	17.20%
20-29	14	15.05%
60 and above	6	6.45%
50-59	3	3.23%

No Affidavit [Alternative Classification] (Reporting Party Subject)

Total Amount of Allegations Closed as No Affidavit² = 12

Classification of Allegation	Total	%
Operation/Personnel Violations	8	66.67%
Supervisory Responsibilities	1	8.33%
Crime Misconduct	1	8.33%
Conduct Unbecoming Violations	1	8.33%
Civil Rights Violation	1	8.33%

Race	Total	%
White/Caucasian	6	50.00%
African-American/Black	5	41.67%
Hispanic/Latino	1	8.33%

Gender	Total	%
Male	9	75.00%
Female	2	16.67%
Unknown	1	8.33%

Age	Total	%
50-59	4	33.33%
30-39	3	25.00%
60 and above	2	16.67%
Unknown/Null	1	8.33%
40-49	1	8.33%
20-29	1	8.33%

CPD Members with Multiple Completed Misconduct Investigations or Multiple Sustained Allegations in the Last 12 Months

(Consent Decree 550-h)

Table 23 demonstrates the number of CPD members who have been the subject of more than two completed misconduct investigations in the previous 12 months. Between October 1, 2023, and September 30, 2024, there have been a total of **76** Department members accused in more than two completed misconduct investigations. Table 24 reflects that a total of **193** Department members have more than one sustained allegation of misconduct between October 1, 2023, and September 30, 2024.

Table 23. CPD Members Accused in More than Two Misconduct Investigations

(previous 12 months)^{1, 2}

(Consent Decree 550-h-i)

Total Completed Investigations	Number of Members
3	56
4	16
5	3
6	1

¹ Complaints assigned to CPD, associated with identified CPD members, and which were closed as "Case Final" between 01 October 2023 and 30 September 2024.

² Data retrieved 1 November 2024.

Table 24. CPD Members with More Than One Sustained Allegation of Misconduct
 (previous 12 months and includes total number of Sustained allegations)^{1, 2}
 (**Consent Decree 550-h-ii**)

Number of Sustained Allegations	Number of Members ^{3,4}
2	88
3	42
4	20
5	21
6	10
7	2
8	3
10	1
13	3
16	1
20	2

¹ Complaints assigned to CPD, associated with identified CPD members, and which were closed as "Case Final" between 01 October 2023 and 30 September 2024.

² Data retrieved 1 November 2024.

³ Individual members with multiple sustained allegations may be considered outliers in this data analysis due to the nature of the specific case.

⁴ 5 out of 7 Department members with ten or more sustained allegations listed above are no longer active within the Department. The two Department members who remain active have sustained allegations for Operations/Personnel Violations and Conduct Unbecoming violations stemming from cases in 2014.

Discriminatory Policing, Allegations of Excessive Force, and Allegations of Unlawful Stops, Searches, and Arrests (*Consent Decree 550-I*)

The following tables will present aggregate data on CPD members who have been the subject, in the previous 12 months (Oct. 1, 2023 to Sep. 30, 2024), of more than two complaints in the following classifications of allegations, regardless of the outcome of those complaint investigations;

Table 25. Allegations of Discriminatory Policing;^{1, 2}

Based on an individual's membership or perceived membership in an identifiable group, but not limited to: race, physical or mental disability, gender, gender identity, sexual orientation, religion, and age.

Number of CPD Members Subject to More Than Two Complaints

6

¹ Allegations for Complaints assigned to CPD **and** COPA, associated with identified CPD members, for all Closed between 01 October 2023 and 30 September 2024. These totals account for any complaint with an allegation listing an Allegation of Bias or any complaint listing an allegation under the following categories: Verbal Abuse-Allegations of Bias or Profanity; Conduct Unbecoming Violations-Harassment, Civil Rights Violation-Profilng, First Amendment, or Improper Search; Supervisory Responsibilities; Operation/Personnel Violations-Inadequate/Failure to Provide Service or Conduct Unbecoming; Traffic (Not Bribery/Excessive Force)-Failure to Enforce Traffic Regulations, Misconduct During Issuance of Citation, Improper Processing/Reporting/Procedures, or Parking Complaints.

² Data retrieved 1 November 2024.

Table 26. Allegations of Excessive Force;^{1, 2}

Number of CPD Members Subject to More Than Two Complaints

15

¹ Allegations for Complaints assigned to CPD **and** COPA, associated with identified CPD members, for all Closed 01 October 2023 and 30 September 2024.

² Data retrieved 1 November 2024.

Table 27. Allegations of Unlawful Stops, Searches, and Arrests;^{1, 2}

Number of CPD Members Subject to More Than Two Complaints

59

¹ Allegations for Complaints assigned to CPD **and** COPA, associated with identified CPD members, for all Closed 01 October 2023 and 30 September 2024.

² Data retrieved 1 November 2024.

Arrests and Criminal Prosecutions of Current CPD Members

(Consent Decree 550-j)

The table below specifies **14** Chicago Police Department members who were arrested in Quarter 3 of 2024 and provides currently available information regarding these arrests and prosecutions.

Table 28. Arrest and Criminal Prosecutions of Current CPD Members¹

Arresting Agency	Chicago Police Department	7
	Other Illinois Agency	2
	Out-of-State Agency	5
Type of Criminal Charges	Misdemeanor	9
	Felony	0
	Unknown	5
Disposition of Prosecutions <i>(Consent Decree 550-J)</i>	<i>Pending</i>	14
	Nolle Prosequi	0
	Released w/o charges	0
	Resigned prior to arrest	0
	Not Guilty	0
	Guilty	0
Current employment status of Department Members arrested in Q3 2024	Active	14
	Inactive	0
	Discharged	0

¹ Based on information currently reported and available to Bureau of Internal Affairs 29 August 2024.

End of Report

